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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aCSF</td>
<td>artificial cerebrospinal fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>action potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVP</td>
<td>arginine vasopressin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVP+</td>
<td>arginine vasopressin positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cAMP</td>
<td>cyclic adenosine monophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCC</td>
<td>cation chloride cotransporter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>charge-coupled device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCK</td>
<td>cholecystokinin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFP</td>
<td>cyan fluorescent protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Cl(^{-})]_i</td>
<td>intracellular chloride concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNQX</td>
<td>6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREB</td>
<td>cAMP response element-binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>dark-dark, or constant darkness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(_{Cl})</td>
<td>chloride reversal potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(_{GABA})</td>
<td>GABAergic reversal potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFP</td>
<td>enhanced green fluorescent protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGTA</td>
<td>ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENK</td>
<td>enkephalin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA</td>
<td>gamma-Aminobutyric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD</td>
<td>glutamate decarboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>green fluorescent protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRP</td>
<td>gastrin releasing peptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGL</td>
<td>intergeniculate leaflet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCC</td>
<td>potassium-chloride cotransporters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>light-dark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mGPSC</td>
<td>miniature GABA-mediated post-synaptic current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>numerical aperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKCC1</td>
<td>sodium-potassium-chloride cotransporters, subtype 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDA</td>
<td>N-Methyl-D-aspartic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPY</td>
<td>neuropeptide Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>neurotensin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHT</td>
<td>retinohypothalamic tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs</td>
<td>series resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROI</td>
<td>region of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCl</td>
<td>chloride ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACAP</td>
<td>pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating peptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PER</td>
<td>period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGAT</td>
<td>vesicular GABA transporter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIP</td>
<td>vasoactive intestinal polypeptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIP+</td>
<td>vasoactive intestinal polypeptide positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vm</td>
<td>membrane potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN</td>
<td>suprachiasmatic nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>substance P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>somatostatin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>body temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTX</td>
<td>tetrodotoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YFP</td>
<td>yellow fluorescent protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZT</td>
<td>zeitgeber time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract

The suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the anterior hypothalamus is the central circadian pacemaker of the body. Besides several neuropeptides, SCN neurons express the neurotransmitter $\gamma$-aminobutyric acid (GABA), and local GABAergic nerve terminals are abundant within the SCN. Despite the prevalence of GABA and GABA receptors in the SCN, the physiological and functional roles of GABA within the SCN remain unclear. GABA signaling has been proposed to mediate synchrony, desynchrony, phase shifts, synaptic gain, and has been observed to be both inhibitory and excitatory within the SCN neural network. My dissertation work has investigated the physiological and functional roles of GABA transmission in the SCN.

The results presented in Chapter 2 provide new insight into the functional role of SCN GABA transmission. I show that genetic deletion of the vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT), and therefore disruption of synaptic release of GABA from SCN neurons, results in the deterioration of behavioral rhythmicity in vivo and concurrent cellular desynchrony in vitro. Therefore, the results presented here indicate that local GABA transmission is essential for the synchronization of SCN neurons that is necessary for coherent circadian output.

In the central nervous system, GABA transmission is normally inhibitory, but several groups have observed excitatory GABA transmission in the adult SCN. However, studies across labs have disagreed on the circadian phase, neuronal location and prevalence of excitatory GABA transmission. GABA has been reported to be exclusively inhibitory, inhibitory during the day and excitatory during the night, and excitatory during the night and inhibitory during the day. The intracellular concentration
of chloride ([Cl\(^-\)]\text{\textsubscript{i}}) is the ultimate determinant of GABA’s physiological action. In Chapter 3, I present results obtained from two independent techniques to address the regulation of [Cl\(^-\)]\text{\textsubscript{i}} in SCN neurons. Together, my results indicate that the potassium-chloride cotransporters (KCCs) have a significant role in SCN [Cl\(^-\)]\text{\textsubscript{i}} regulation, while the sodium-potassium-chloride cotransporter type 1 (NKCC1) has a relatively minor role. Further, I have observed that [Cl\(^-\)]\text{\textsubscript{i}} is differentially regulated in AVP- and VIP-expressing neurons, and have found direct evidence for a circadian component to [Cl\(^-\)]\text{\textsubscript{i}} regulation in AVP-expressing neurons.

Membrane excitability has been linked to the molecular clock in SCN neurons. Therefore, the regulation of [Cl\(^-\)]\text{\textsubscript{i}} in SCN neurons addressed in Chapter 3 may be a key regulator of the circadian synchrony of SCN neurons investigated in Chapter 2. Both of these projects have made use of novel genetic approaches to address complex questions in SCN physiology. These techniques represent the forefront of neuroscience research, and have once again been shown to be invaluable for dissecting neural circuitry.
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Circadian rhythms and health

It is not surprising that life forms organize their behavior according to the presence of the sun—certainly the most salient stimulus to terrestrial organisms. What is surprising however, is that organisms maintain daily fluctuations in behavior and physiology in the absence of this rhythmic stimulus. These endogenous rhythms were named circadian rhythms for their approximate daily periodicity (‘circa’ approximate, ‘dian’ referring to the day). First described by Jean Jacques d’Ortous de Mairan in 1729, circadian rhythms have since been found in creatures from unicellular organisms to man.

Circadian organization of behavior provides adaptive advantage to organisms, preparing them for upcoming changes in their environment. In mammals, essential body functions including sleep, growth, metabolism, core body temperature, fluid regulation, and cardiac output display circadian organization. Just as circadian organization is adaptive, disruption of circadian physiology can be highly deleterious. Shift work and the accompanying circadian misalignment have been identified to be risk factors for cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, and metabolic diseases as well as obesity and even cancer (Smith and Eastman, 2012). Therefore, in our modern 24/7 ‘always on’ society, understanding circadian physiology has become increasingly crucial.
1.2 The molecular clock

Remarkably, circadian rhythmicity exists within individual cells in what is referred to as the molecular clock. The molecular clock in mammals is a transcriptional-translation feedback loop composed of multiple interacting ‘clock genes’. Generally speaking, cell processes including transcription, translation, nuclear translocation and protein degradation collectively give rise to the emergent circadian period. The transcription factors CLOCK and BMAL1 makeup the ‘engine’ or positive limb of the feedback circuit. After dimerization, the CLOCK:BMAL1 complex initiates transcription at E-box elements found in promoters throughout the genome. Among these members are the Per (Per1 and Per2) and Cry (Cry1 and Cry2) genes. The PER and CRY proteins inhibit the activity of the CLOCK:BMAL1 complex through either epigenetic modifications at E-box elements, or through direct protein-protein interactions. In this way, PER and CRY inhibit their own expression, and therefore constitute the negative limb of the feedback circuit. Additionally, PER2 contributes to the rhythmic expression of BMAL1. Increased levels of BMAL1 will in turn promote PER2 expression, thereby restarting the transcription cycle (Reppert and Weaver, 2001; Hastings et al., 2003).

Accessory feedback loops have also been identified in the molecular clockwork. CLOCK:BMAL1 dimers also initiate transcription of Ror and Rev-erb. These transcription factors compete for regulatory binding sites within the promoter of Bmal1, where ROR initiates and REV-ERB inhibits transcription. Knockouts of these genes do not result in drastic circadian phenotypes, and therefore are not considered to be core components of the molecular clock. However, the REV-ERB/ROR accessory loop as well others interact with the primary transcription-translation feedback loop at critical
points, regulating features such as timing precision and rhythm amplitude (Buhr and Takahashi, 2013).

1.3 The discovery of the SCN and the circadian organization of the body

The circadian clock’s sensitivity to light lead researchers to the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN), now considered the master clock of the circadian system. Looking for non-image forming projections from the retina, neuroanatomist Robert Moore identified several structures in the anterior hypothalamus that receive direct innervation from the retina, including the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) which was named for its location above (‘supra’) the optic chiasm (Moore and Lenn, 1972). That same year, two lesioning studies identified the SCN as necessary for circadian rhythms in behavioral activity, water consumption, and adrenal corticosterone (Moore and Eichler, 1972; Stephan and Zucker, 1972). When the SCN was lesioned, these behaviors were arrhythmic in constant conditions. Subsequent characterization of intrinsic circadian rhythms present in ex vivo SCN tissue (e.g. action potential discharge, neuropeptide secretion, etc.) further supported the SCN’s defining role in circadian rhythm generation, but the SCN’s sufficiency to drive circadian rhythms was not adequately established until years later by clever transplant experiments, where rhythmicity was rescued in SCN-lesioned animals. SCN from mutant hamsters displaying 20 hour circadian rhythms were transplanted into SCN-lesioned wild type hamsters. Remarkably, the period of the donor SCN determined the resultant behavioral period in the animal, arguing for the supremacy of the SCN in the circadian organization of the body (Ralph et al., 1990; Vogelbaum and Menaker, 1992).
More recently, the SCN’s reign of supremacy has been challenged by the observed presence of circadian rhythmicity in several peripheral tissues ex vivo, without any possible input from the SCN. However, these peripheral circadian rhythms dampen after a few cycles—much more quickly than the SCN, which can persist for weeks. This observation has lead the field to conclude that the SCN is not solely responsible for circadian rhythm generation, but rather provides amplification and timing cues to intrinsic molecular clocks throughout the body. Indeed, a major role of the SCN is to synchronize the body to the environment in a process called entrainment. The SCN adjusts its phase (e.g. locomotor activity onset, firing frequency or clock gene expression) in response to sensory cues such as the light/dark cycle, food presentation, locomotor activity, stress, and social interaction. These cues are referred to as zeitgebers (“time givers”) and circadian rhythms are often plotted in relation to these stimuli (zeitgeber time, or ZT). The difference in time of a phase marker between one cycle and the next is referred to as a phase shift. These phase shifts occur within the SCN, and are subsequently relayed to the rest of the body through neural, endocrine, and paracrine signaling. In this way, the SCN synchronizes the body to the environment, and ensures proper circadian organization within the body.

1.4 Cell autonomy and cell coupling

As described above, the molecular clock is an intracellular phenomenon found in cells throughout the body. The molecular clock does not require input from other cells or surrounding tissue in order to cycle—it is a cell-autonomous self-sustaining oscillator. In dispersed culture, individual SCN neurons display rhythmicity in action potential
discharge, intracellular calcium concentration, and clock gene expression. So if the molecular clock exists within individual cells, why is the SCN necessary for the circadian organization of the body? As mentioned, the SCN mediates entrainment, whereby the body is synchronized to the environment through sensory input. Similarly, a key role of the SCN neural network is to mediate circadian synchrony, where cell-autonomous molecular clocks are synchronized in period and phase with each other. Neurons in dispersed culture display a wide range of periods, yet in an intact SCN slice, they demonstrate a narrow distribution close to 24 hours (Welsh et al., 1995). Interestingly, the density of the dispersed cell culture has been related to the degree of period variability (Aton and Herzog, 2005; Webb et al., 2009). Further insight into the role of the SCN neural network has come from genetic ablations of clock genes. The circadian rhythms of single SCN neurons are more susceptible to genetic perturbations than the intact SCN (Liu et al., 2007). Therefore, the SCN neural network provides robustness and stability to the cell-autonomous molecular clock and generates rhythmic output that is more precise than that provided by an individual neuron (Herzog et al., 2004).

Together, these studies hint that some signaling factor or factors are mediating cell coupling within the SCN neural network. Indeed, TTX provokes desynchronization among SCN neurons, speaking to the necessity for synaptic transmission (Honma et al., 2000; Yamaguchi et al., 2003). The potential correlation between circadian firing rate and synaptic connectivity was investigated in a multi-electrode study by Shirakawa et al. The majority of neuron pairs analyzed demonstrated no correlation in the phase of their circadian firing rhythm. Similarly, no synaptic interaction could be detected in these pairs. However, in the 45 pairs that did show circadian synchrony, a synaptic
relationship was detected (Shirakawa et al., 2000). Therefore, synaptic transmission seems to be necessary for SCN cell coupling, and yet the substance mediating this synchrony has remained elusive. Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP), gastrin-release peptide (GRP), neurotensin (NT) and gamma-Aminobutyric acid (GABA) have all been implicated in cell coupling. In recent years, understanding the mechanisms mediating SCN synchrony has been a major focus in the field of chronobiology. The second chapter of this work uses new techniques to address GABA’s role in mediating SCN synchrony.

1.5 SCN neuroanatomy

1.5.1 Afferents

The SCN is defined as the bilateral region of high neuronal density located above the optic chiasm and flanking the third ventricle. This location situates the SCN in an ideal position to receive extensive input from both optic nerves via the retinohypothalamic tract (RHT). Indeed, a major source of input to the SCN comes from specialized intrinsically-photosensitive retinal ganglion cells. Melanopsin confers these retinal ganglion cells with the unique ability to sense environmental light information directly, independent of rod and cone photosensitivity. This retinal light information is conveyed to the SCN by synapses containing glutamate and pituitary adenylate cyclase activating peptide (PACAP). Ambient light information phase-locks the SCN in a process called entrainment. Light is the most important zeitgeber, but as mentioned, the SCN can also be entrained by a variety of non-photic sensory inputs. Major sources of these non-photic stimuli originate from the intergeniculate leaflet (IGL) of the
thalamus and the dorsal raphe nucleus. The IGL conveys GABAergic and neuropeptide Y (NPY) input, while that from the raphe nuclei is serotonergic. Besides these primary three input streams, numerous less significant afferents have been identified through retrograde tract tracing studies (Krout et al., 2002).

1.5.2 Neuropeptide expression and partitioned framework

Heterogeneity is a noteworthy feature of the SCN. SCN neurons express several neuropeptides, including vasopressin (AVP), vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP), gastrin releasing peptide (GRP), substance P (SP), neurotensin (NT), enkephalin (ENK), somatostatin (SS) and cholecystokinin (CCK). Immunohistochemical staining for these peptides reveals regional clustering of neurons within the nuclei. Furthermore, certain genes other than neuropeptides (such as calbindin and calretinin) display regional expression throughout the SCN. Colocalization studies indicate that some neurons are positive for multiple neuropeptides antigens, adding an additional layer of complexity to SCN organization. Strikingly, the regional expression of VIP within the ventrolateral SCN correlates with the axon terminal fields of several key inputs into the SCN (see above), leading researchers to impose a dichotomy unto the SCN whereby neurons in the ventrolateral “core” receive sensory input from the RHT and IGL, which is then conveyed to output neurons in the dorsomedial “shell.” Although this framework has been a useful framework for SCN analysis, it may be limiting researchers from a more complete understanding of SCN organization (Morin, 2007).
1.5.3 Cytoarchitecture and local connections

Like other regions of the hypothalamus, the SCN has no clear laminar structure. An extensive morphological study classified SCN neurons as either radial multipolar, bipolar, or monopolar (Van den Pol, 1980). SCN neurons have one to three dendrites that average ~180 µm in length, rendering a total dendritic field of approximately 350 µm (Jobst et al., 2004). Dendrites sprawl in all directions, although there is some preference for a dorsomedial orientation. Therefore, dendritic fields are capable of spanning the entire nuclei. Most SCN neurons have short axons of approximately 50 µm—much shorter than their dendrites. The majority of these axons have axon collaterals that terminate within the SCN (Van den Pol, 1980; Card and Moore, 1984; Van den Pol and Gorcs, 1986). Collectively, these cytoarchitectural descriptions portray the SCN as a diffuse and complex interconnected synaptic network. Indeed, an extensive confocal microscopy study found dense reciprocal innervation between nearly all immunoreactive subpopulations of SCN neurons examined, leading the authors to describe the SCN as an “oscillatory/reverberative [network] generated by a non-linear self-organizing system” (Romijn et al., 1997; LeSauter et al., 2002). This model is supported by an electrophysiological study that demonstrated functional local activity using focal application of glutamate and glutamate uncaging, supporting the model of the SCN as a network of local inhibitory circuits (Strecker et al., 1997). Despite the wealth of information describing the anatomy and physiological activity of the local SCN “meshwork,” the functional role of interneuronal communication within the SCN remains unclear. In this dissertation, I have addressed a possible functional role of local GABA communication between SCN neurons.
1.5.4 Efferents

The SCN projects to numerous downstream targets, most of which are in the hypothalamus (Watts and Swanson, 1987; Kalsbeek et al., 1993; Morin, 1994). Despite this divergence, three significant pathways stand out: 1) an anterior projection to the ventral lateral septum, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis and anterior paraventricular thalamus; 2) a projection running along the third ventricular to nearby hypothalamic targets including the subparaventricular zone, the paraventricular nuclei, the ventromedial and dorsomedial nuclei, the preoptic area, and the premammillary area and 3) a posterior projection to the posterior paraventricular thalamus, precommissural nucleus and olivary pretectal nucleus (Morin, 2013).

In addition to these neural pathways, the SCN probably orchestrates some degree of signaling through paracrine or humoral factors. Indeed, the SCN is poised to mediate paracrine signaling through ventricular secretion. The exact nature of these signals remains uncertain, but transplants of SCN tissue encapsulated in membranes that permit diffusion of small molecules but prevent neurite outgrowth are able to partially rescue behavioral (but not endocrine) rhythms in SCN-lesioned animals, arguing for a role of diffusible molecules from the transplant (Silver et al., 1996).

1.6 GABA machinery in the SCN

GABA is the primary inhibitory neurotransmitter in the mammalian central nervous system. GABA is synthesized from glutamate by glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD). The mammalian genome encodes two GAD isoforms, encoded by two separate
genes, GAD65 and GAD67, named for their protein molecular weight. SCN neurons are considered to be GABAergic as nearly all neurons in the SCN express GAD and there is ubiquitous staining for GABA throughout the SCN (Okamura et al., 1989; Moore and Speh, 1993; Castel and Morris, 2000; Belenky et al., 2003; Jobst et al., 2004). Interestingly, GAD expression and activity may be under circadian control (Cagampang et al., 1996; Huhman et al., 1996; Huhman et al., 1999; Panda et al., 2002), which could explain the observed rhythmicity of GAD activity (Aguilar-Roblero et al., 1993) and GABA-mediated synaptic currents (Itri and Colwell, 2003; Itri et al., 2004).

Once synthesized, GABA molecules are loaded into synaptic vesicles by the vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT), also known as the vesicular inhibitory amino acid transporter (VIAAT) due to its additional ability to transport glycine (Jonas et al., 1998). Protein-protein interactions exist between GAD65 and VGAT, suggesting that the synthesis and transport of GABA are coupled processes (Jin et al., 2003). VGAT uses the electrochemical gradient for H\(^+\) and possibly Cl\(^-\) to load GABA into synaptic vesicles (Hsu et al., 1999; Riazanski et al., 2011).

Following vesicle fusion, GABA reaches concentrations in the synaptic cleft in the range of 1.5 to 3 mM (Mozrzymas et al., 2003; Barberis et al., 2004), and binds to its cognate receptors, the ionotropic GABA\(_A\) receptors or the metabotropic GABA\(_B\) receptors. GABA\(_A\) receptors are pentameric structures composed of 19 possible subunits: \(\alpha(1–6), \beta(1–3), \gamma(1–3), \delta, \epsilon, \theta, \pi, \) and \(\rho(1–3)\). Despite the propensity for receptor diversity, the observed subunit compositions are limited by several factors including 1) cell-type specific expression 2) preferential assembly interactions and 3) interactions with proteins involved in assembly, transport, subcellular targeting, and
synaptic anchoring (Mortensen et al., 2012). Most commonly, synaptic GABA$_A$ receptors are composed of two $\alpha$ subunits, two $\beta$ subunits, and a $\gamma$ subunit. In SCN neurons, three $\alpha$ ($\alpha2$, $\alpha3$, and $\alpha5$), two $\beta$ ($\beta1$ and $\beta3$), and two $\gamma$ ($\gamma1$ and $\gamma2$) GABA$_A$ receptor subunits have been identified (Gao et al., 1995; O'Hara et al., 1995; Naum et al., 2001; Belenky et al., 2003; Jobst et al., 2004). Interestingly, certain GABA$_A$ subunits may be under circadian regulation in the SCN (Naum et al., 2001).

While $\alpha\beta\gamma$ hetero-pentamers are found both synaptically and extrasynaptically, GABA$_A$ receptors containing the $\delta$ subunit are generally restricted to extrasynaptic sites. These extrasynaptic $\alpha\beta\delta$ receptors often include a specialized $\alpha$ subunit—usually either $\alpha4$ or $\alpha6$. $\alpha\beta\delta$ receptors exhibit high sensitivity to GABA and slow rates of desensitization. This combination of properties bestows $\alpha\beta\delta$ receptors with the unique ability to mediate tonic GABA currents. Currently, there is only indirect evidence for the presence of tonic GABA currents in SCN neurons (Ehlen and Paul, 2009; McElroy et al., 2009).

GABA$_A$ receptor gating opens an anion-selective pore which is permeable to both Cl$^-$ and the bicarbonate ion (HCO$_3^-$). Approximately 80% of the current is carried by Cl$^-$. Therefore, the reversal potential for GABA$_A$ receptors ($E_{GABA}$) is primarily determined by the reversal potential for Cl$^-$, $E_{Cl}$. $E_{Cl}$ critically depends on the intracellular concentration of chloride, [$Cl$]$_i$. In neurons, [$Cl$]$_i$ is established by a family of cation chloride cotransporters (CCCs) which use the concentration gradients of Na$^+$ or K$^+$ ions to transport Cl$^-$ ions—the CCCs are secondary active transporters, relying on the potential energy established by the Na$^+/K^+$ ATPase in order to transport Cl$^-$ against its electrochemical equilibrium (Blaesse et al., 2009). Originally studied in non-neuronal
cells for their roles in cell volume regulation, more recently, they have gained attention for their roles in neuronal Cl\(^-\) regulation. For most mature neurons, \([\text{Cl}^-]\) is low, resulting in Cl\(^-\) influx upon GABA\(_A\) receptor activation and classical inhibitory neurotransmission. This gradient is established by the activity of the potassium-chloride-cotransporters (KCCs), which remove one K\(^+\) ion and one Cl\(^-\) ion with a 1:1 stoichiometry (Blaesse et al., 2009). Four KCCs have been identified in the mammalian genome, KCC1-4. All four are expressed in the CNS, but KCC2 expression is exclusively neuronal. Therefore, the uniquely low [Cl\(^-\)] observed in neurons has been largely attributed to the activity of KCC2.

During embryonic development and early neonatal life, GABA transmission is excitatory in central neurons. This period of excitatory GABA correlates with elevated brain expression of the sodium-potassium-chloride cotransporter 1, NKCC1 (Hubner et al., 2001; Yamada et al., 2004). However, this idea has been contested (Clayton et al., 1998; Yan et al., 2001; Mikawa et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2002). NKCC1 mediates Cl\(^-\) uptake, transporting one Na\(^+\) and one K\(^+\) with every two Cl\(^-\) ions. Therefore, increased neonatal activity of NKCC1 relative to KCC2 may underlie neonatal excitatory GABA. Excitatory GABA transmission has also been observed in several regions of the adult brain, including the dentate gyrus, the auditory brainstem, the supraoptic nucleus, the paraventricular nucleus, and the suprachiasmatic nucleus (see below)(Wagner et al., 1997; Price and Trussell, 2006; Chiang et al., 2012; Haam et al., 2012). Further, several studies have described an excitatory effect of GABA input onto axons of central and peripheral neurons. Ascription of NKCC1 to excitatory GABA in these regions has been mixed. For example, NKCC1 activity was responsible for excitation in the
paraventricular nucleus, but was not found to contribute to \( \text{Cl}^- \) accumulation in the calyx of Held (Price and Trussell, 2006).

\( E_{\text{GABA}} \) varies widely between neurons and even within neurons. Notably, \( E_{\text{GABA}} \) rarely coincides with the thermodynamic equilibrium of either the KCCs or NKCC1 (Ebihara et al., 1995; Martina et al., 2001; Balakrishnan et al., 2003; Yamada et al., 2004; Tyzio et al., 2008; Glykys et al., 2009; Dzhala et al., 2010). Further, a push-pull relationship between NKCC1 and KCC2 is not energetically favorable. A suitable explanation for these issues may be found in the kinetic regulation of CCC activity. The kinetics of membrane \( \text{Cl}^- \) transport will depend on the number of functional membrane transporters as well as their intrinsic transport rates (Blaesse et al., 2009). The phosphorylation state of the CCC determines the rate of transport: generally, phosphorylation enhances NKCC1 activity, while phosphorylation inactivates the KCCs (Kahle et al., 2010). The signaling pathways and kinases regulating CCC phosphorylation state is an emerging field of study that is likely to provide key new insight into \([\text{Cl}^-]\) regulation.

### 1.7 Excitatory GABA transmission in the SCN

Early reports investigating the effect of GABA on SCN neurons observed the normal inhibitory action (Liou and Albers, 1990; Mason et al., 1991; Bos and Mirmiran, 1993; Chen et al., 1996; Liu and Reppert, 2000). Further, these studies indicated that the efficacy of GABA-mediated inhibition was constant throughout the day. In 1997, Wagner et al. were the first to observe excitatory GABA transmission in the adult SCN. They concluded that GABA was predominantly excitatory during the day—bath
application of GABA increased action potential discharge in 71% of neurons during the day, but decreased firing in 56% of neurons during the night (Wagner et al., 1997). Two years later, a collaboration of three labs using independent electrophysiological techniques published a rebuttal, insisting that GABA is exclusively inhibitory in the SCN (Gribkoff et al., 1999). To further complicate the issue, the next major study addressing excitatory GABA transmission in the SCN concluded that GABA can be depolarizing, but that depolarization is more common during the night, contradictory to Wagner’s initial findings (De Jeu and Pennartz, 2002). Albus et al. added a layer of anatomical complexity to the issue, observing that GABA was exclusively inhibitory in the ventral SCN, but is excitatory in the ventral SCN during the late day and early night (Albus et al., 2005). Choi et al. used extracellular recordings to characterize the effect of GABA by cell location, retinal innervation, and ZT, concluding that an excitatory action of GABA is present across all of these variables, although minor (Choi et al., 2008). Irwin and Allen re-examined GABA transmission in the SCN using Ca\textsuperscript{2+} imaging. In a subset of neurons, GABA was sufficiently depolarizing to elicit Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients mediated by voltage-sensitive Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels. These GABA-induced Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients were observed both in the day (46%) and the night (66%), and were most prevalent in the dorsomedial SCN (Irwin and Allen, 2009). An extensive gramicidin perforated-patch study described both regional and circadian regulation of E_{GABA} in the SCN. In their model, during the day GABA is excitatory in the dorsal SCN but inhibitory in the ventral SCN, and during the night, GABA is inhibitory in the dorsal SCN but excitatory in the ventral SCN (Alamilla et al., 2014). When characterizing fast synaptic connections between SCN neurons using multi-electrode arrays, Freeman et al. concluded that approximately 40%
of GABAergic connections were excitatory and remained excitatory throughout the day (Freeman et al., 2013). Using optogenetic techniques, Fan et al. found that approximately 56% of day neurons and 43% of night neurons increased their firing rate upon optical stimulation of VIP-expressing neurons (Fan et al., 2015). Interestingly, excitatory GABA transmission has also been found to depend on photoperiod. GABAergic excitation was most prominent in animals entrained to long days (Farajnia et al., 2014). In conclusion, GABA has been reported to be exclusively inhibitory, inhibitory during the day and excitatory during the night, and excitatory during the night and inhibitory during the day.

Some of the discrepancies between these studies may be attributed to differences in the definition of excitation. Strictly speaking, inhibition reduces the probability of a neuron reaching AP threshold, whereas excitation increases that probability. Regardless of the value of $E_{GABA}$, activation of GABA$_A$ receptors will increase membrane conductance, resulting in shunting inhibition. Shunting inhibition acts by decreasing the temporal and spatial summation of excitatory input. Even if $E_{GABA}$ is depolarizing, this shunting effect will be functionally inhibitory. Therefore, whether GABA is ultimately excitatory or inhibitory will depend on the method used to draw the conclusion—techniques that measure AP discharge may be the most physiologically relevant. Regardless of the specifics, it is clear that GABA can be excitatory in the adult SCN.
1.8 Mechanisms of excitatory GABA transmission in the SCN

Although depolarizing GABA has been reported by several labs, the mechanisms underlying \( \text{E}_{\text{GABA}} \) regulation have received less attention. Secondary to any shunting inhibition, the voltage effects of \( \text{GABA}_A \) receptor activation will depend on the location of \( V_m \) relative to \( \text{E}_{\text{GABA}} \). The resting membrane potential has been shown to be under circadian regulation in SCN neurons (Colwell, 2011). Therefore, circadian variation in \( V_m \) may be partially responsible for excitatory GABA transmission. Accumulating evidence indicates that \( \text{E}_{\text{GABA}} \) is also under circadian control. Using a series of voltage-clamp protocols, Wagner et al. characterized two \( \text{Cl}^- \) transport mechanisms—one that replenishes the cell after \( \text{Cl}^- \) depletion, and a second that extrudes \( \text{Cl}^- \) after \( \text{Cl}^- \) loading. They observed that the ‘replenishing’ transport process was less effectual during the night, explaining the prevalence of GABAergic excitation during the day. Shimura et al. described a dependence of \( \text{E}_{\text{GABA}} \) on the animal’s time of death when measured in acutely dissociated neuronal cultures (Shimura et al., 2002), but this observation is difficult to interpret as SCN neurons are known to continue cycling in culture.

New insights into SCN \( \text{Cl}^- \) regulation came from molecular anatomy. Key determinants of neuronal chloride demonstrated differential expression throughout the SCN. KCC2 expression abounds in the ventrolateral SCN, but is deficient in the dorsomedial SCN (Kanaka et al., 2001; Belenky et al., 2008). Instead, KCC3 and KCC4 are prominent in dorsomedial SCN. NKCC1 was shown to be expressed throughout the SCN, with particularly high density in the dorsomedial SCN (Belenky et al., 2010). The differential expression of CCCs throughout the SCN suggests that \( [\text{Cl}^-]_i \) (and \( \text{E}_{\text{GABA}} \)) may vary throughout the SCN. Further, CCC expression correlated with neuropeptide
content, suggesting that \([\text{Cl}^-]\) may vary according to cell identity. Western blot analysis of SCN tissue further implicated NKCC1 in SCN chloride regulation: NKCC1 expression was highest in the dorsomedial SCN during the night (Choi et al., 2008). Further, three groups have agreed that blocking NKCC1 with bumetanide decreased the amplitude of GABA-induced \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) transients (Choi et al., 2008; Irwin and Allen, 2009; Farajnia et al., 2014). WNK3, a chloride-sensitive serine-threonine kinase known to regulate CCC kinetics was identified in soma and dendrites throughout the SCN. Therefore, WNK3 may execute circadian regulation of \([\text{Cl}^-]\) in the SCN. Collectively, these results imply unique features of \(\text{Cl}^-\) regulation in the SCN, suggesting that \([\text{Cl}^-]\) may vary in relation to cell type or time of day.

1.9 Functional roles of GABA in the SCN

Most hypothalamic neurons express a neuropeptide in addition to a fast neurotransmitter such as glutamate or GABA. While ablation studies can reveal relationships between groups of neurons and particular behaviors, parsing out the roles of the various signaling molecules within the targeted neurons remains challenging (Schone and Burdakov, 2012). This problem is compounded in the hypothalamus where neural circuits lack clear organization, signal processing is non-linear, and nuclei lack the laminar organization found in other parts of the brain. Such complexity is especially profound in the SCN where cellular heterogeneity abounds. And yet, GABA expression is common to SCN neurons. Here, I discuss some of the roles ascribed to GABA's function in the SCN.
1.9.1 Retinohypothalamic tract synaptic regulation

GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors regulate glutamate release from retinohypothalamic tract terminals synapsing onto SCN neurons (Shibata et al., 1986; Jiang et al., 1995). Subsequent research has indicated that these presynaptic GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors mediate this effect by inhibiting RHT terminal calcium channels (Moldavan et al., 2006) and that this regulation is sensitive to circadian changes in ambient GABA concentration (Moldavan and Allen, 2013). Consistent with these physiological data, GABA\textsubscript{B} receptor agonists attenuate light-induced phase delays in the early night while GABA\textsubscript{B} antagonists facilitate these phase delays (Gillespie et al., 1997).

1.9.2 Phase shifts

As discussed, several zeitgebers are able to adjust the phase of the internal circadian clock. Light is the most well-studied zeitgeber, and yet the signaling pathway from light information to clock gene expression is not fully resolved. In part, glutamatergic fibers from the retina excite SCN neurons leading to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx, a rise in cAMP, activation of cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB), and induction of period genes. Therefore, post-synaptic effects of GABA on \( V_m \) or Ca\textsuperscript{2+} entry is likely to influence the phase of SCN neurons. In a study using multi-electrode arrays to track the firing rate of individual neurons over several days, treatments with the GABA\textsubscript{A} receptor agonist muscimol elicited phase-shifts in a phase-dependent manner (Liu and Reppert, 2000). Similarly, behavioral studies have found roles for GABA signaling in phase resetting. During the day, GABA\textsubscript{A} receptor activation elicits phase advances in nocturnal rodents, but phase delays in diurnal species (Smith et al., 1989; Novak and
During the night, GABA\textsubscript{A} receptor activation attenuates the ability of light to induce either phase advances or delays (Gillespie et al., 1996; Gillespie et al., 1997). Conversely, blocking GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors with bicuculline potentiated photic phase shifts (Gillespie et al., 1996). Similar effects of GABA were observed when phase was monitored with clock gene expression instead of behavior. Light-induced clock-gene expression was inhibited by GABA\textsubscript{A} receptor activation (Ehlen et al., 2008). Therefore, GABA opposes the phase-shifting effects of light at both the behavioral and molecular levels. Together, these studies indicate that GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors regulate the efficacy and gating of phase-shifts in the SCN.

1.9.3 Firing frequency

GABAergic IPSPs are prevalent in SCN neurons (Kim and Dudek, 1992; Strecker et al., 1997); (Jiang et al., 1997; Itri and Colwell, 2003; Gompf and Allen, 2004; Itri et al., 2004; Gompf et al., 2006). Kononenko and Dudek demonstrated that this constant bombardment of inhibitory input is responsible for the irregular firing observed in a population of SCN neurons—application of GABAergic antagonists increased the regularity of action potential discharge (Kononenko and Dudek, 2004).

1.9.4 Circadian synchrony or ‘coupling’

SCN neurons do not synchronize their AP discharge on a millisecond timescale as observed in other regions of the brain. However, the SCN does display a circadian rhythm in AP discharge, with peak firing frequency occurring around the middle of the day, indicating that SCN neurons do coordinate their firing patterns, albeit on a relatively
slow timescale. As discussed previously, synaptic connectivity is essential for this circadian synchrony among SCN neurons. Several lines of evidence suggest that synaptic GABA transmission coordinates phase synchrony between SCN neurons. In phase-dispersed cultures, long duration applications of GABA were found to synchronize circadian firing frequencies (Liu and Reppert, 2000). Using multi-unit in vitro recording, Albus et al. found a single peak in AP discharge in control slices, but two peaks in AP discharge in rats subjected to a 6 hour phase delayed. One peak corresponded to the middle of the day in the new phase-delayed light-dark cycle, while the other peak corresponded to the middle of the day in the prior light-dark cycle. GABAergic synaptic signaling was required for the ventral SCN to convey phase information to the dorsal SCN (Albus et al., 2005). Together, these two studies indicate that GABA is able to synchronize SCN neurons. However, GABA’s role in orchestrating circadian synchrony has been contested. Two reports have concluded that GABA does not contribute to circadian synchrony (Aton et al., 2006; Freeman et al., 2013). In fact, these studies found that GABA destabilized circadian rhythms in either AP discharge or clock gene expression, concluding that GABA signaling dampens circadian rhythms and introduces 'jitter' into the network, rendering it more susceptible to entrainment signals. Therefore, the most parsimonious explanation is that GABA signaling is sufficient but not necessary for circadian synchrony.

A new experimental model has helped to resolve some of these seemingly contradictory findings. In this model, the phase of individual neurons was analyzed relative to the average phase of the SCN. After long day photoperiods, SCN explants showed a greater degree of phase dispersion compared to slices from mice entrained to
12:12 light-dark cycles. The phase relationship showed a regional pattern, where the dorsal SCN phase-led the ventral SCN. Over time in culture, the phase relationship from long day animals gradually adjusted to match that from 12:12 entrained mice. GABA\textsubscript{A} signaling was found to be essential for this adjustment of phase relation, leading the authors to conclude that "GABA\textsubscript{A} signaling promotes network synchrony in an antiphase state, but opposes network synchrony in a steady-state configuration" (Evans et al., 2013).

1.10 Specific aims

Therefore, due to the importance of GABA in the SCN, this dissertation presents experiments designed to investigate the physiological and functional roles of GABA transmission in the SCN.

1.10.1 The functional role of GABA transmission in the SCN

Elucidating the \textit{in vivo} role of GABA transmission within the SCN has been challenging. Global knockouts of GABA transmission are lethal, and results from intracranial cannulation can be difficult to interpret. The recent dawning of intersectional genetics has provided new techniques to deconstruct neural circuits. Using such approaches, I have produced a local depletion of GABA transmission in the SCN neural network to test for GABA's role in mediating circadian synchrony.
1.10.2 Cl− regulation in SCN neurons

Previous studies have shown that GABA$_A$ receptor activation can be either excitatory or inhibitory in SCN neurons, suggesting that the Cl$^-$ reversal potential may be under circadian regulation. In a series of electrophysiological and fluorometric experiments, I have investigated the role of key chloride cotransporters in regulating the intracellular Cl$^-$ concentration. The activity of NKCC1 and KCC2 were assessed during the day and night, and in genetically-defined subpopulations of SCN neurons.
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2.1 Abstract

The neurotransmitter γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) is expressed in most neurons of the brain’s master biological clock, the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN). The function of GABAergic signaling within the SCN neural network, however, remains poorly understood. Here we show that genetic deletion of the vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT), and hence disruption of synaptic release of GABA from SCN neurons, results in the deterioration of behavioral rhythmicity in vivo and concomitant cellular desynchrony in vitro. These findings suggest that GABAergic signaling is essential for proper SCN network function and coherent rhythmicity.

2.2 Introduction

The master mammalian circadian oscillator, located in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus, is composed of cell-autonomous neuronal oscillators (Hastings et al., 2003; Roenneberg et al., 2003; Aton et al., 2005; McDearmon et al., 2006; Mohawk and Takahashi, 2011). When cultured at low density, individual SCN neurons exhibit a range of circadian periods; in vivo they are synchronized to a common period via poorly understood intercellular signaling mechanisms (Welsh et al., 2010). Furthermore, the network properties of the SCN provide stability for circadian rhythms against mutations that affect the transcription-translation feedback loops of the molecular clock (Liu et al., 2007). Thus, intercellular signaling within the SCN network is critical for the generation and maintenance of behavioral circadian rhythms.
SCN neurons differ in their expression of several neuropeptides, but all synthesize the fast-acting neurotransmitter GABA and its receptors (Abrahamson and Moore, 2001). Still, the functional role of GABA transmission from SCN neurons has remained elusive. Anatomical studies report that SCN neurons have GABAergic terminals both within and afferent to the SCN (Moore and Speh, 1993; Castel and Morris, 2000; Abrahamson et al., 2001). An important role of the SCN neural network is to mediate neuronal cell coupling (Welsh et al., 1995; Aton and Herzog, 2005). Blocking action potentials with tetrodoxin (TTX) provokes desynchronization of SCN neurons, suggesting that synaptic transmission is necessary for synchrony (Honma et al., 2000; Yamaguchi et al., 2003). In vitro studies have provided mixed results concerning GABA’s role as a synchronizing agent within the SCN (Liu and Reppert, 2000; Albus et al., 2005; Aton et al., 2006; Evans et al., 2013; Freeman et al., 2013). In SCN neuronal cultures, applications of GABA were found to synchronize circadian firing frequencies (Liu and Reppert, 2000). Albus et al. reported that GABA signaling was required for the ventral SCN to synchronize to the dorsal SCN after a phase delay (Albus et al., 2005). However, two reports have concluded that GABA does not contribute to circadian synchrony (Aton et al., 2006; Freeman et al., 2013). Recently, it was proposed that GABA signaling promotes synchrony in an antiphase state, but opposes synchrony in a steady-state configuration (Evans et al., 2013).

A major barrier to understanding the role of GABA in the SCN has been the lack of methods to produce long-term modulation of the GABA system. Global knockouts of GABAergic transmission in the CNS are lethal (Wojcik et al., 2006). Further, the GABA_A receptor is a pentamer assembled from a family of at least 18 possible subunits, but
none are exclusive to the SCN. To better understand GABA’s role in SCN function and circadian rhythmicity, we selectively disrupted GABA transmission in SCN neurons of adult mice. Our results indicate that SCN GABA transmission is required for coherent physiological and behavioral rhythmicity in vivo and contributes to the synchronization of SCN neurons in vitro.

2.3 Methods

2.3.1 Mice and animal care

We used mice with three different transgenic mouse lines in this study. In the Vgat$^{lox/lox}$ mice, Vgat has been modified to contain loxP sites flanking the gene’s translational start site in the second exon (Tong et al., 2008). In the PER2::LUC reporter line, luciferase is fused in-frame to the endogenous PER2 gene (Yoo et al., 2004). For mPer1-Venus fluorescence imaging experiments, mice contained the Per1$^{Venus}$ allele in which Venus is inserted into the promoter region of mPer1 (Cheng et al., 2009). Genotyping was performed by an external facility (Transnetyx, Inc). All transgenic mice were on a C57BL/6 genetic background. Unless otherwise mentioned, mice were housed in 12:12 light-dark (LD) cycles. All tissue was prepared during the animal’s light cycle and data were plotted relative to time of lights on (zeitgeber time or ZT, lights on = ZT0). The age of the mice used in these experiments ranged from four to six months old. All procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Animal Care and Use Committees of Oregon Health & Science University and Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center.
2.3.2 Intracranial viral injections

Adult mice were anaesthetized with an isoflurane/oxygen mixture. Throughout the surgery, the depth of anesthesia was monitored by assessment of the rate of respiration and anesthesia was confirmed by the absence of a withdrawal reflex in response to tail pinch. Once anesthesia was established, mice were placed on a heating pad, and immobilized in a stereotaxic frame. The head was positioned with ear bars, and isoflurane was delivered to the nosecone. The surgical area was shaved, and treated with ethanol and betadine. The scalp was incised (about 5 mm) and a small burr hole was drilled in the skull. A glass micropipette (tip diameter 3-5 µm) was then lowered to the designated coordinates, and the AAV injected with either an air pressure device (Picospritzer, General Valve Corporation) or a pneumatic injection unit (Nanoject, Drummond Scientific). Coordinates for SCN injection were ±0.15 lateral, −0.45 posterior, and −5.5 mm ventral to bregma. Coordinates for SPZ injection were identical but −5.0 mm ventral to bregma. The injection volume ranged from 100 – 200 nL (titer: approximately 2-10^{12} particles/mL). Mice received either AAV-Cre, AAV-Cre-GFP, AAV-GFP (serotypes 10, Boston Children’s Hospital Viral Vector Core), or AAV-Cre-mCherry (serotype 8, University of North Carolina Viral Vector Core) according to the experiment. After injection, the pipette was withdrawn, and the wound was sealed with surgical glue. Betadine and triple antibiotic ointment were applied to the surrounding area. Anesthesia was discontinued, and the mouse was moved to a heated recovery cage. Once awake, the mouse was transferred to its home cage. Most mice used in this study were injected in Boston at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center under the direction of Dr. Patrick Fuller. After a minimum of two weeks after
surgery, mice were shipped to Oregon Health & Science University and quarantined for three weeks prior to any experimentation. Mice used for mPer1-Venus experiments were injected at Oregon Health & Science University by the author.

2.3.3 Electrophysiology

Mice were entrained to a LD 12:12 cycle, with lights on at 10 AM. Mice were removed from housing, anaesthetized with isoflurane, and decapitated. The brain was quickly removed and submerged in an ice-cold slicing solution consisting of (in mM): 126 NaCl, 26 NaHCO₃, 11 dextrose, 4 MgCl₂, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, and 0.5 CaCl₂, saturated with 95% O₂, 5% CO₂, ~300 mOsm. The brain was blocked and 250 µm thick coronal slices were prepared with a Leica VT1000S vibratome. Slices were then transferred to an aCSF containing (in mM): 132.5 NaCl, 22 NaHCO₃, 11 dextrose, 2.5 KCl, 2.4 CaCl₂, 1.2 MgCl₂, and 1.2 NaH₂PO₄ and allowed to incubate at 36°C for 1-4 hours before recording. Brain slices were visualized under epifluorescence to verify successful transduction of AAV-Cre-GFP in the SCN. For whole-cell patch clamp recording, slices were imaged under DIC optics and single cells were targeted from the dorsomedial SCN with boroscillicate glass pipettes (4-7 MΩ) filled with (in mM): 145 KCl, 10 HEPES, 4 Mg-ATP, 2 NaCl, and 1 EGTA. Following gigaseal formation, the cell membrane was ruptured and allowed to equilibrate for 5 minutes before the onset of recording. To isolate miniature GABAₐ-mediated post-synaptic currents (mGPSCs), 10 µM CNQX and 0.5 µM TTX was added to the recording solution. These currents were confirmed to be GABAergic as application of picrotoxin at the end of several recordings completely eliminated synaptic activity. A high concentration of intracellular Cl⁻ was
used to facilitate the detection of synaptic currents. Because these currents were inward, we elected to refer to them as mGPSCs instead of inhibitory post-synaptic currents. All experiments were done at 32°C with a 1-2 mL/min flow rate. Whole-cell recordings were performed with a Axopatch-1D amplifier, filtered at 2 kHz, digitized at 5 kHz, and acquired with Patchmaster v5.3. Cells were voltage-clamped at \(-60\) mV, and cells with holding currents less than \(-50\) pA were excluded from analysis. A small voltage step was used to monitor series resistance. Only cells with stable (less than 20% change) series resistance values less than 40 MΩ were kept for analysis of mGPSCs.

mGPSCs detection and analysis was performed with custom macros for Igor Pro (Version 6.22A; Wavemetrics). To detect mGPSCs, a threshold filter (\(-3\) nA/s) was applied to the first derivative of the current trace. Events exceeding this threshold were considered to be mGPSCs and were kept for subsequent analysis. For each cell, average amplitude was obtained from a composite mGPSC.

2.3.4 Behavior

Adult mice were housed individually in cages equipped with a running wheel, and maintained in climate-controlled environmental chambers (Percival Scientific). Housing light intensity was approximately 300 lux. Wheel rotations were monitored through magnetic induction and acquired every 5 minutes with Vitalview software. Time series data were imported into Clocklab (Actimetrics) for analysis of behavioral rhythmicity. Actograms were double-plotted and ‘scaled’ was selected for the display setting. Chi-square periodograms were generated from 10 days of wheel-running data in constant
darkness with a 1 min block size (Sokolove and Bushell, 1978). The dashed line indicates a $p$-value of 0.05. The largest peak in the periodogram in the range of 12-36 hours was selected as the dominant circadian period. The amplitude of this peak for the behavior of each mouse was used to compare between genotypes.

2.3.5 Luminometry

$\text{Vgt}^{\text{lox/lox}}$, $\text{Per2}^{\text{Luc}}$ mice were entrained to a 12:12 LD cycle and killed during the animal’s light cycle as described above. Using sterile techniques, brains were quickly removed and submerged in sterile-filtered (0.2 µm) chilled 1x Hanks’ buffered salt solution (HBSS; 10x HBSS without Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$ and NaHCO$_3$, Life Technologies, #14065; supplemented with 4.5 mM NaHCO$_3$, 10 mM HEPES, 100 u/mL penicillin and 100 u/mL streptomycin). Cultures were prepared as described previously (Yamazaki et al., 2000; Abe et al., 2002). Briefly, the brain was blocked and 300 µm coronal slices were prepared with a vibratome (VT1000S; Leica-Microsystems). SCN slices were trimmed to remove surrounding hypothalamic tissue, and positioned unto Millicell cell culture inserts. Inserts were placed in 35 mm culture dishes containing 1.2 mL culture medium and sealed with sterile vacuum grease. Culture medium consisted of DMEM supplemented with B27, 20 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES, 4.2 mM NaHCO$_3$, 25 µg/mL penicillin, 25 µg/mL streptomycin, and 0.1 mM beetle luciferin. Dishes were placed into an Actimetrics Lumicycle and photons were counted for 75 seconds every 10 minutes. Data was imported into the Actimetrics Lumicycle Analysis program, smoothed at a value of 5, and 24 and 3 hr running averages were exported for subsequent analysis. In order to control for variability in the number of PER2-expressing neurons within a slice,
individual traces were normalized to the amplitude of the first bioluminescent peak. After normalization, the value of the third peak in culture was used to compare between genotypes.

2.3.6 mPer1-Venus imaging

Organotypic slice cultures were prepared as described under luminometry. Coronal slices were sectioned at 150 µm to minimize background fluorescence. The slices were placed on a membrane insert (Millicell Organotypic Cell Culture inserts, EMD Millipore) and the insert placed into a glass-bottomed 35 mm culture dish (MatTek Corp.) containing 1.2 ml of culture media. Sealed dishes were placed in a 36°C temperature-controlled chamber (ibidi GmbH). Venus fluorescence measurements were obtained by excitation at 500/20 nm with a 515 nm long pass dichroic and a 535/30 nm emission filter (Chroma Technology). Excitation light was supplied via a Lambda LS (Sutter Instrument) comprising a Xenon Arc Lamp and filter wheel. Acquisition duration was limited to avoid photobleaching, but ranged from 500 to 1500 ms. Light passed through a Nikon Eclipse TE2000 microscope using a 10x/0.3 Plan Fluor Nikon objective. Images were captured using a cooled charge-coupled device camera (CCD, Evolve 512 EMCCD, Photometrics) controlled via the digital imaging software Metaflour (Molecular Devices). 16 bit images were acquired every 5 or 10 minutes.

Optical data were converted to relative fluorescence intensity units for each cell or region of interest using ImageJ (v1.48) (U. S. National Institutes of Health) and the Plugins Speckle TrackerJ (v0.87) and Speckle_Intensity (Smith et al., 2011).
Subsequent analysis was performed using Excel (v14.4, Microsoft), Igor Pro (v6.3, Wavemetrics) and R (v3.02, R Foundation for Statistical Computing). The background signal for each frame was subtracted with ImageJ using a sliding paraboloid radius of 50 pixels. The time and amplitude of the peak fluorescence for each cell or region of interest was determined using a custom script written in Matlab (The MathWorks, Inc.). Data were plotted using KaleidaGraph (version 3.6; Synergy Software), and R. Rhythmicity was determined by visual inspection. We used the Raleigh test derived from circular statistics to determine the degree of phase synchrony between individual SCN neurons (Batschelet, 1981). The resulting Rayleigh Statistic, R (here referred to as the “synchrony index”), quantifies the degree of phase cohesion among cells: a value of 1 indicates perfect synchrony (all cells are phase-matched) while a value of 0 indicates the absence of synchrony (the phases of individual neurons are uniformly distributed throughout the day). Rayleigh analysis was performed in R using the package “Circular” written by Ulric Lund and Claudio Agostinelli (Jammalamadaka, 2001).

2.4 Results

2.4.1 Genetically-driven disruption of SCN GABAergic transmission in vitro

To disrupt GABAergic neurotransmission in SCN neurons, we implemented an intersectional strategy, using Cre-lox technology to mediate local Vgat deletion. We used Vgat\textsuperscript{lox/lox} mice in which the gene for the vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT, encoded by Vgat) has been modified to contain loxP sites flanking the gene’s translational start site in the second exon (Tong et al., 2008). Cre-mediated
recombination results in an excision of Vgat’s translational start site, halting VGAT production. To introduce Cre recombinase into the SCN, we performed stereotaxic injections of adeno-associated viral vectors (AAV-Cre, serotype 10). In this vector, Cre is downstream of the CMV promoter, providing constitutive expression of Cre in transduced neurons. VGAT is required for synaptic release of GABA (Wojcik et al., 2006) and is expressed by nearly all SCN neurons (Figure 2.1 A). Bilateral transduction of AAV-Cre produced substantial loss of Vgat mRNA in the SCN (Figure 2.1 B & C). Accordingly, SCN Vgat deletion resulted in a decrease in the frequency of miniature GABA_A-mediated post-synaptic currents (mGPSCs) recorded from SCN neurons. Specifically, Cre-mediated disruption of GABAergic transmission produced a 37% reduction in mGPSC frequency from 8.1 to 5.1 Hz (p<0.05 using generalized estimating equations, Figures 2.1 E & F). Because a substantial proportion of the GABAergic inputs received by SCN neurons comes from other SCN neurons (Belenky et al., 1996), we attribute this decrease in mGPSC frequency to reflect a decrease in local GABAergic synaptic activity. We also noticed a small increase in average mGPSC amplitude (23.4%, p<0.05 using generalized estimating equations, Figures 2.1 G & H). Closer examination of the mGPSC amplitude distribution revealed that, compared to uninjected controls, SCN neurons from AAV-Cre injected Vgat^lox/lox mice exhibited a reduction in the proportion of small amplitude mGPSCs (less than 50 pA) and a concomitant increase in the proportion of mGPSCs between 50 to 150 pA (Figure 2.1 H). Collectively, these in vitro findings confirm that our manipulation is able to disrupt synaptic GABA transmission in SCN neurons, and that this disruption can be observed within the local SCN network.
2.4.2 Loss of physiological rhythmicity in vivo

To assess the behavioral consequences of vesicular GABA depletion in the SCN, we monitored wheel-running activity in Cre-injected Vgat\textsuperscript{lox/lox} mice. Under a 12:12 LD cycle, most Cre-injected mice exhibited normal locomotor rhythmicity, with wheel-running activity consolidated in the dark phase (Figures 2.2 A, C & E). Several Cre-injected mice displayed substantial daytime running, but the average percentage of daytime running did not significantly differ between groups (11.6 ± 3.4% for Cre-injected mice (n=22), vs 5.2 ± 2.8% for Cre-null mice (n=11); p=0.22, Student’s t-test). Upon release into constant darkness (DD), several Cre-injected mice exhibited immediate fragmentation of their locomotor activity, with wheel-running distributed throughout the day (Figures 2.2 C & E). Because the degree of fragmentation demonstrated variability, we used the peak amplitude of chi-square periodogram obtained from 10 days of activity in constant darkness to compare the strength of rhythmicity between Cre-null and Cre-injected mice. Control mice had an average amplitude of 5234 ± 760 (n=5) while Cre-injected mice had an average amplitude of 2898 ± 257 (n=17) (p<0.001, Student’s t-test, Figure 2.3). Cre-injected Vgat\textsuperscript{lox/−} mice had an average amplitude of 3233 ± 402 (n=4), suggesting that loss of VGAT expression from a single allele is sufficient to elicit behavioral fragmentation. Collectively, these behavioral results indicate that SCN GABAergic transmission is necessary for the SCN to orchestrate coherent circadian rhythmicity.

In a separate set of experiments, my collaborators monitored animal body temperature (\(T_b\)) and locomotor activity rhythms via telemetry. As observed in the
wheel-running experiments, Cre-injected Vgat<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice demonstrated normal entrainment to the LD cycle, but became arrhythmic in DD (Figures 2.4 B & C). The disintegration of rhythmicity was rapid, but also variable. In some cases, release into DD resulted in an apparent phase shift, or rapid phase inversion, suggesting that the apparent entrainment in LD had been light masking, and not true photoentrainment. Collectively, these behavioral results indicate that SCN GABAergic transmission is necessary for the SCN to orchestrate coherent circadian rhythmicity.

2.4.3  Behavioral rhythmicity after disruption of subparaventricular zone GABA transmission

The subparaventricular zone (SPZ) is a major target of SCN efferents. Because injections targeting the SCN gave some expression in the SPZ, my collaborators asked whether loss of GABA transmission from SPZ neurons may have contributed to the observed behavioral arrhythmicity. We recorded LMA and Tb rhythms from Vgat<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice with Cre injections targeted to the bilateral SPZ. Cre-injected Vgat<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice demonstrated normal behavior in both LD and DD, and demonstrated no differences in phase angle of entrainment, period length, or cosinor amplitude when compared to injected controls (Figure 2.5).

2.4.4  Per2 rhythmicity intact in vitro

The observed behavioral fragmentation in constant conditions can be attributed to either a loss of rhythmicity within the SCN, or a loss of signaling from a rhythmic SCN—i.e. the locus of circadian disruption could be within the SCN itself or efferent to
the SCN. We investigated rhythmicity within the SCN using luminometry of the PER2::LUC reporter. My collaborators generated $Vgat^{lox/lox}$, $Per2^{Luc}$ mice and injected AAV-Cre-GFP into the bilateral SCN. After recovery from surgery, shipment to OHSU, and entrainment to the light-dark cycle, I prepared organotypic SCN slices from these $Vgat^{lox/lox}$, $Per2^{Luc}$ mice and placed them in the LumiCycle for in vitro monitoring of PER2 bioluminescence. To our surprise, PER2 rhythmicity could be detected in behaviorally arrhythmic mice (Figure 2.6 B). To quantify the degree of synchrony within the culture, we used the rate of damping as an index of coherent rhythmicity (Maywood et al., 2011; Mieda et al., 2015). Specifically, traces were normalized to the amplitude of the first peak in culture, and the normalized amplitude of the third peak was compared between groups. We found no difference in the third peak amplitude (0.32 ± 0.06 for Cre-injected $Vgat^{lox/lox}$ (n=12); 0.28 ± 0.05 for Cre-null mice (n=10); p>0.05, Student’s $t$-test). Further, we found no difference in period between groups (24.82 ± 0.14 hrs for Cre-injected $Vgat^{lox/lox}$ (n=10); 24.38 ± 0.08 hrs for Cre-null mice (n=11); p>0.05, Student’s $t$-test). We interpret these results to indicate that $Vgat$ deletion within the SCN is not sufficient to disrupt the molecular clock.

2.4.5 Desynchrony of SCN neurons

Although rhythmicity was intact in SCN slices from Cre-injected $Vgat^{lox/lox}$ mice, it is possible that individual neurons were desynchronized within the slice. To investigate synchrony after VGAT depletion, we monitored $mPer1$-Venus fluorescence from individual neurons in organotypic slice cultures for several days (Cheng et al., 2009). $Vgat^{lox/lox}$, $Per1^{Venus}$ mice received SCN injections of AAV-Cre-mCherry (serotype 8).
Red fluorescence was used to determine the location and precision of the injection. Most (78/101) Per1-expressing neurons were rhythmic in Cre-null control mice. To measure the degree of synchrony or phase cohesion amongst individual SCN neurons, we used the Rayleigh analysis (Batschelet, 1981). Rayleigh analysis produces a Synchrony Index which quantifies the degree of synchrony between individual neurons such that a value of 1 indicates perfect synchrony (all oscillators are phase-matched) while a value of 0 indicates the absence of synchrony (the phases of individual oscillators are uniformly distributed throughout the day). During the first cycle in culture, the three Cre-null explants had Synchrony Indexes of 0.87, 0.96, and 0.82, indicating a high degree of synchronization among neurons. Two days later, the Synchrony Indexes had dropped to 0.76, 0.61, and 0.61, indicating that desynchronization had occurred over time in vitro, as previously reported (Brancaccio et al., 2013; Freeman et al., 2013; Herzog et al., 2015). When cells from all three experiments were grouped together, the Synchrony Index was 0.88 for the initial peak and 0.6 for the third peak (Figures 2.7 D & E). In explants from Cre-injected mice, a smaller proportion of Per1-expressing neurons were rhythmic (36/77). Compared to Cre-null mice, the synchrony between rhythmic neurons was also reduced in Cre-injected mice. The three Cre-injected slices had an average Synchrony Index of 0.64 at the time of first peak, and 0.40 at the time of third peak, indicating that disrupting local GABA transmission decreased synchrony throughout the recording (Figures 2.7 H & I). In a Cre-injected mouse that missed the SCN, rhythmicity and synchrony were similar to that observed in the Cre-null mice (data not shown).
These results indicate that local GABA transmission contributes to the synchronization of neurons in the SCN neural network. SCN neurons may use GABA to mediate interneuronal synchronization. Synchronization is required to generate the high-amplitude output signals necessary to drive behavioral rhythms. Therefore, the observed desynchrony between SCN neurons of Cre-injected mice can explain the observed behavioral arrhythmia. The remaining rhythmicity in Cre-injected mice may reflect incomplete loss of VGAT from the SCN. To what extent the differences in rhythmicity between the PER2::LUC luminometry and mPer1-Venus fluorescence may represent divergent functionality of the mPer1 and mPer2 genes will require further research.

2.5 Discussion

Here we show that acute local deletion of Vgat in the SCN disrupts behavioral and physiological circadian rhythms. Cre-mediated excision of Vgat severely reduced GABA transmission in the SCN. VGAT depleted mice exhibited normal activity when housed in light-dark cycles, but fragmentation when housed in constant conditions, demonstrating that the acute behavioral effects of light (i.e. masking) were intact, and circadian rhythms alone were disrupted. PER2::LUC luminometry indicated that Per2 rhythmicity was unaffected by disrupting local GABAergic transmission. However, effective SCN signaling requires synchronization of cell-autonomous molecular clocks, and we were not able to track bioluminescence from individual neurons with our equipment. We turned to single-cell imaging to determine the degree of phase
cohesion among individual SCN neurons. Depleting SCN VGAT reduced the proportion of rhythmic neurons and increased the phase dispersion of rhythmic SCN neurons.

Circadian rhythms in mammals are generated by highly conserved auto-regulatory transcriptional/translational feedback loops in neurons of the hypothalamic SCN. However, it has become clear that SCN network activity is essential for proper function of the circadian clock. GABAergic neurotransmission has been proposed to play an important role in the coupling of SCN neurons, but this role has remained controversial. While daily application of GABA synchronized the circadian rhythm of firing frequency in dispersed cell culture (Liu and Reppert, 2000; Shirakawa et al., 2000), blocking GABA receptors had no effect on the synchronization of Per1 expression (Aton et al., 2006). Freeman et al. concluded that GABA_A receptor activation actually elicits desynchrony in the SCN (Freeman et al., 2013). A recent study has offered a new model of GABA’s contributing to synchrony, suggesting that GABA signaling promotes network synchrony in an antiphase state, but opposes network synchrony in a steady-state configuration (Evans et al., 2013). Similarly, it has been proposed that fast GABAergic transmission introduces “jitter” into the SCN network (Freeman et al., 2013). GABA tone may provide SCN neurons with the pliancy necessary for autonomous cellular clocks to synchronize with their neighbors.

In addition to GABA, several lines of evidence have implicated VIP in mediating SCN synchrony. VIP knockout mice have a shortened circadian period and reduced rhythmicity in constant darkness (Colwell et al., 2003). Mice lacking the VIP receptor (VPAC2^{−/−}) have compromised photoentrainment to light-dark cycles, but demonstrate robust activity patterns once entrained. In DD, VPAC2^{−/−} mice display short free-
running periods and compromised rhythmicity (Hughes et al., 2008). *In vitro*, SCN neurons from VPAC2−/− mice show reduced amplitude of Per1-bioluminescence rhythms and desynchrony between cells (Maywood et al., 2006; Brown et al., 2007). Vasopressin receptor knockout mice (V1a−/−) have normal photoentrainment and locomotor rhythmicity in LD, but compromised rhythmicity in DD (Li et al., 2009b). Importantly, rhythmicity is never completely abolished in these animal models. We interpret these findings to signify that neuropeptides contribute to—but are not required for—circadian synchrony. Because SCN neurons co-express neuropeptides along with GABA, there may be possible antagonistic or cooperative interactions between these two classes of signaling molecules. We propose that SCN circadian output is an emergent property that surfaces from a combination of fast GABA transmission and slow neuromodulation.

In conclusion, we found that long-term disruption of SCN GABA transmission elicited a reduction of circadian rhythmicity *in vivo* and desynchrony of Per1 expression *in vitro*. Our data provide the first *in vivo* evidence that GABA signaling is required for proper function of the SCN.
Figure 2.1: Reduction of GABA transmission in the SCN of Cre-injected Vgat<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice.

(A) Vgat<sub>in situ</sub> hybridization in the SCN of an uninjected control mouse. (B) After bilateral injections of AAV-Cre into the SCN of a Vgat<sup>lox/lox</sup> mouse nearly every neuron in the SCN expressed Cre (immunostaining), and (C) there was no Vgat mRNA expression remaining in the SCN. (D) Example fluorescent image of the SCN from a mouse injected with AAV-Cre-IRES-GFP. (E) Representative 5 second traces of mGPSCs recorded from control (top trace) and the Cre-injected SCN shown in D (bottom trace). The scale bar is 100 pA vertical and 0.5 sec horizontal. (F) The frequency of miniature GABA-mediated post-synaptic currents (mGPSCs) was reduced by 37% in Cre-injected SCN (8.1 vs 5.1 Hz; p < 0.05, generalized estimating equations). (G) The cumulative probability plot of mGPSC amplitude was shifted toward larger amplitude events in Cre-injected SCN (green line) compared to uninjected SCN (black line). (H) mGPSC event amplitude distribution for Cre-injected (green line) and uninjected SCN (black line). Electrophysiological recordings were obtained from 12 Cre-injected (100 neurons) and 12 uninjected Vgat<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice (98 neurons). In (H), the number of events for each group was matched for display purposes.

*Figures 2.1 A, B, & C were contributed by my collaborators Heinrich Gompf, Patrick Fuller, and Cliff Saper.
Figure 2.2: The circadian rhythm of wheel-running activity is disturbed in Cre-injected Vgat^{lox/lox} mice.

Top row: double-plotted actogram (A) and corresponding χ² periodogram (B) from a Cre-injected Vgat^{lox/lox} mouse displaying normal circadian rhythmicity when housed in constant darkness. The dashed line indicates a p-value of 0.05. A clear circadian peak in the behavior can be seen at 23.7 hours. Middle and bottom rows: double-plotted actograms (C and E) and corresponding χ² periodograms (D and F) from Cre-injected Vgat^{lox/lox} mice demonstrating fragmented behavior in constant conditions. These mice exhibited normal diurnal activity under a light-dark cycle, but arrhythmia when released into constant darkness. Only small circadian amplitudes could be detected in the χ² periodograms obtained from these two mice.
Figure 2.3: Summary data for wheel-running activity.
Circadian amplitude values obtained from $\chi^2$ periodogram analysis of wheel-running behavior in constant darkness. Points represent values from individual mice while bars represent averages ($\pm$ standard error) from Cre-null (gray) and Cre-injected $Vga^{lox/lox}$ mice (green). The average amplitude from Cre-injected mice was significantly lower than that from Cre-null mice ($p < 0.001$, using the Student's $t$-test) indicating that SCN $Vga$ deletion compromised circadian rhythmicity of wheel-running activity.
Figure 2.4: Disruption of GABA release by SCN neurons induces behavioral and physiological fragmentation in vivo. (A) Photomicrograph (25x) of the SCN from a AAV-Cre-GFP injected Vgat^{lox/lox} mouse showing that Cre transduction was restricted to the SCN. Double-plotted body temperature (T_b) (B) and locomotor (C) actograms from the mouse in (A). Periods of darkness are denoted with shading. The Cre-injected mouse showed entrainment to a 12:12 LD cycle but arrhythmia in constant darkness. (D) Periodogram analysis of T_b from 10 days in DD exhibited no prominent circadian peak. The straight line indicates a p-value of 0.05. (E) Lack of Cre expression in the SCN of a AAV-GFP injected Vgat^{lox/lox} control mouse. Double-plotted T_b (F) and locomotor (G) actograms from the mouse in (E). (H) Periodogram analysis of T_b from 10 days in DD had a clear circadian peak.

*This figure was contributed by my collaborators Heinrich Gompf, Patrick Fuller, and Cliff Saper.*
Figure 2.5: Vgat deletion in the subparaventricular zone (SPZ) does not affect circadian rhythms. Photomicrograph depicting Cre-labeled cells (black) within the SPZ and vasoactive intestinal peptide-expressing fibers (brown) from the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN). Scale bar = 200 µm. 3V, third ventricle. ot, optic tract. (B) Double-plotted actograms depicting Tₜ rhythms in Vgat<sup>lox/lox</sup> mice injected with either AAV-GFP (B) or AAV-Cre-GFP (C). Green arrows denote the beginning of constant darkness. SPZ Vgat deletion (red) did not significantly affect the period length (D) or cosinor amplitude (E) of Tₜ circadian rhythms of compared to control (blue). n = 9 per group.

*This figure was contributed by my collaborators Heinrich Gompf, Patrick Fuller, and Cliff Saper.
Figure 2.6: The molecular clock is undisturbed in Cre-injected V\textit{gat}\textsuperscript{lox/lox} mice.

PER2::LUC bioluminescence rhythms obtained from organotypic slice cultures obtained from V\textit{gat}\textsuperscript{lox/lox} mice injected with either AAV-GFP (A) or AAV-GFP-Cre (B and C). Individual traces are normalized to the amplitude of the first peak in culture. To measure the rate of damping, we compared the normalized amplitude of the third peak in culture between groups. Cre-injection did not overtly disrupt the rate of damping (third peak in culture was 0.32 ± 0.06 for Cre-injected V\textit{gat}\textsuperscript{lox/lox} (\(n = 12\)) and 0.28 ± 0.05 for Cre-null mice (\(n = 10\)); \(p > 0.05\) using a Student’s t-test) or the period (24.82 ± 0.14 hours for Cre-injected V\textit{gat}\textsuperscript{lox/lox} (\(n = 10\)); 24.38 ± 0.08 hours for Cre-null mice (\(n = 11\)); \(p > 0.05\) using a Student’s t-test) of the PER2::LUC bioluminescent signal. The trace in Figure B was recorded from the same mouse whose wheel-running behavior is shown in Figure 2.2 C; the trace in Figure C was obtained from the same mouse whose behavior is shown in Figure 2.2 A.
Figure 2.7: Time-lapse imaging of individual neurons following Cre-injection into the SCN of Vgat^{lox/lox}, mPer1-Venus mice reveals reduced rhythmicity and synchrony of SCN neurons. (A) Individual mPer1-Venus recordings from a Cre-null mouse. Insert: the fluorescence intensity of the left (red) and right (black) lobes of the SCN. (B, C) Rayleigh plots from the slice shown in A at the time of first (B) and third (C) peak in culture. Each point represents the peak time for an individual neuron. The direction of the arrow denotes the dominant phase of the group while the length of the arrow represents the Synchrony Index. (D, E) Rayleigh plots of the imaged neurons (n = 101) from three Cre-null slices at the time of first (D) and third peak (E) in culture. (F) Individual Per1-Venus recordings from an SCN that received bilateral AAV-Cre-mCherry injection. Insert: the intensity of the left (red) and right (black) SCN. Note that the slice continued to have low amplitude rhythmic activity even though a significant number of neurons were arrhythmic. (H, I) Rayleigh plots of the imaged neurons (n = 77) from three AAV-Cre-mCherry slices at the time of first (H) and third (I) peak in culture.
CHAPTER 3: INTRACELLULAR CHLORIDE REGULATION IN NEURONS OF THE SUPRACHIASMATIC NUCLEUS
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3.1 Introduction

In addition to several neuropeptides, SCN neurons express and package the fast-acting neurotransmitter GABA. GABA transmission is normally inhibitory, but several groups have observed excitatory GABA transmission in the SCN (Wagner et al., 1997; Wagner et al., 2001; De Jeu and Pennartz, 2002; Albus et al., 2005; Choi et al., 2008; Irwin and Allen, 2009; Freeman et al., 2013; Alamilla et al., 2014; Farajnia et al., 2014; Fan et al., 2015). Studies across labs have disagreed on the circadian phase, neuronal location and prevalence of excitatory GABA transmission. GABA has been reported to be exclusively inhibitory, inhibitory during the day and excitatory during the night, and excitatory during the night and inhibitory during the day. However, there is some agreement that GABA is more often depolarizing in the dorsomedial SCN during the night (Choi et al., 2008; Irwin and Allen, 2009).

The effect of GABA$_A$ receptor activation depends on the intracellular concentration of chloride ([Cl$^-$]). [Cl$^-$] is regulated by a family of cation chloride cotransporters (CCCs) which use the concentration gradients of Na$^+$ and K$^+$ ions to transport Cl$^-$ ions into (the sodium-potassium-chloride cotransporter 1, NKCC1) or out (the potassium-chloride cotransporters, KCC) of neurons. Normally, [Cl$^-$] is kept low in neurons by the action of the neuron-specific isotonically-active KCC2. However, a role for NKCC1 in [Cl$^-$] regulation has been demonstrated in the SCN (Choi et al., 2008; Irwin and Allen, 2009; Alamilla et al., 2014; Farajnia et al., 2014). Interestingly, a recent study has demonstrated differential expression of the chloride transporters throughout the SCN (Belenky et al., 2010). KCC2 expression was found in the ventrolateral SCN, but KCC3 and KCC4 were found in the dorsomedial SCN. Further, NKCC1 was
expressed throughout the SCN, but was concentrated in the dorsomedial SCN. The
differential expression of CCCs throughout the SCN suggests that $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ and the
GABAergic reversal potential ($E_{\text{GABA}}$) may vary regionally throughout the SCN.

In this work, I have examined potential regional and circadian regulation of $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$, and have investigated the relative contributions of NKCC1 and KCC2 to setting $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ in SCN neurons. I have used two independent techniques to address $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ in genetically-defined populations of SCN neurons. Using gramicidin perforated-patch recordings, I have tested for regional activity of the CCCs in setting the GABAergic reversal potential. Similarly, using ratiometric imaging of $\text{Cl}^-\text{Sensor}$, I have investigated the contributions of NKCC1 and KCC2 to $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ regulation. Together, my results indicate that KCC2 has a significant role in $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ regulation in SCN neurons, while NKCC1 has a relatively minor role. Further, I have observed that $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ is differentially regulated between AVP- and VIP-expressing neurons, and have found direct evidence for a potential circadian component to intracellular chloride in AVP-expressing neurons.

### 3.2 Methods

#### 3.2.1 Animal strains and housing

Both rats and mice were used in this study. All electrophysiological experiments were done with male Wistar rats, 8 to 24 weeks old. Wild-type rats were obtained from Charles River Labs while the data presented in Figure 3.4 made use of a transgenic rat line in which eGFP is fused to AVP (AVP-eGFP; Ueta et al., 2005). These rats were bred in-house, but tail snips were sent to an external facility for genotyping (Transnetyx, Inc). Genomic DNA was screened for eGFP by PCR analysis.
Cl\textsuperscript{−} imaging experiments were performed with C57BL/6 mice in which a floxed Cl\textsuperscript{−} Sensor allele was inserted into the Rosa26 locus (Batti et al., 2013). We crossed these mice with either AVP-IRES-Cre (Harris et al., 2014) or VIP-IRES-Cre (Taniguchi et al., 2011; Harris et al., 2014) to yield AVP-Cl Sensor or VIP-Cl Sensor mice. Genotyping was performed by Transnetyx, Inc. Mice were heterozygous for both the Cl\textsuperscript{−} Sensor and Cre transgenes. Tissue was prepared from adult male and female mice between two and six months old.

All animals were entrained to 12:12 light-dark (LD) cycles. Were applicable, data were plotted in relation to time of lights on (zeitgeber time or ZT, lights on = ZT0). All procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Animal Care and Use Committees of Oregon Health and Science University.

3.2.2 Acute slice preparation and solutions

During their light phase, animals were removed from housing, anaesthetized with isoflurane, and decapitated. The brain was quickly removed and submerged in an ice-cold slicing solution consisting of (in mM): 111 NaCl, 26 NaHCO\textsubscript{3}, 11 dextrose, 6 Na-glucuronate, 4 MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 3 KCl, 1 NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, and 0.5 CaCl\textsubscript{2}, saturated with 95% O\textsubscript{2}, 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. The brain was blocked and 175 µm thick coronal slices were prepared with a Leica VT1000S vibratome. Slices were incubated in slicing solution for 1-4 hours at 36°C before recording.

Experiments were continuously perfused at 1-2 mL/min with an artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) with Cl\textsuperscript{−} set to a physiologically-relevant concentration (122 mM; Schrock and Kuschinsky, 1989). aCSF contained (in mM): 114 NaCl, 26 NaHCO\textsubscript{3}, 11 dextrose, 6 Na-glucuronate, 2.7 KCl, 2 CaCl\textsubscript{2}, 1 MgCl\textsubscript{2}, and 1 NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, saturated with
95% O₂, 5% CO₂. Recordings from AVP-eGFP rats and Cl⁻ imaging experiments where indicated were performed in HEPES-buffered aCSF containing (in mM): 114 NaCl, 22 Na-gluconate, 12.5 HEPES, 7.5 Na-HEPES, 5 dextrose, 2.7 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, and 1 NaH₂PO₄. For this solution, pH adjusted to 7.40 with NaOH, and gassed with 100% O₂. The bath was maintained at 36°C for all experiments and tetrodotoxin (TTX) was included in the recording solution to eliminate any possible polysynaptic effects of GABA agonists.

3.2.3 Electrophysiology

Recordings were performed with an Axopatch-1D amplifier (Axon Instruments), filtered at 2 kHz, digitized at 5 kHz, and acquired with Patchmaster v5.3 (HEKA Elektronik). For whole-cell recordings, pipette solution contained (in mM): 120 K-gluconate, 9 KCl, 10 HEPES, 2 NaCl, 1 EGTA, and 4 Neurobiotin-Cl. For some experiments, KCl was replaced with K-gluconate to yield a 15 mM [Cl⁻]pipette solution. For perforated-patch recordings, pipette solution contained (in mM): 120 KCl, 20 K-gluconate, 15 HEPES, 2 NaCl, 1 EGTA. For all solutions, pH was adjusted to 7.26 with KOH. Perforated-patch pipette solutions included either 0.2 mM Lucifer Yellow or Texas Red. Gramicidin (Sigma) was dissolved in DMSO to a concentration of 50 mg/mL, aliquoted, and frozen. Before an experiment, this stock solution was diluted to a 30-100 µg/mL in pipette solution. A drop of gramicidin-free pipette solution was first applied to the backend of the pipette. After capillary action filled the pipette tip, the pipette was back-filled with the gramicidin-containing solution moments before submersion into the recording chamber. After seal formation, series resistance (Rₛ) was
monitored with a −5 mV voltage step to monitor the progress of perforation. Only recordings with $R_s$ less than 100 MΩ and were used for experiments. Cells were voltage clamped at −60 mV and cells with holding currents less than −30 pA were discarded.

The GABAergic reversal potential ($E_{\text{GABA}}$) was determined with one of two voltage-clamp protocols. For whole-cell recordings, the membrane potential was sequentially stepped to test potentials in 10 mV increments every 10 seconds. After a delay, 1 mM GABA was puff applied near the soma of the neuron at 5 psi for 5 to 20 ms. To obtain the GABA-evoked current, peak current was subtracted from the baseline current before application of GABA. To calculate $E_{\text{GABA}}$, the GABA-evoked current amplitude (y-axis) was plotted against the command potential (x-axis) and the data was fit by a linear function. $E_{\text{GABA}}$ was taken to be the x-intercept of this fit. For perforated-patch recordings, $E_{\text{GABA}}$ was determined using voltage ramp protocols. Every 10 seconds, a 400 ms voltage ramp protocol ($\Delta V \cong 60$ mV) was executed 100 ms after puff-application of GABA. A current trace recorded in the absence of GABA was subtracted from currents done in the presence of GABA. The subtracted current trace was then plotted against the ramp command potential, and $E_{\text{GABA}}$ was calculated as previously. $R_s$ offsets were not used to correct voltage values. Liquid junction potentials were not used to correct $E_{\text{GABA}}$ measurements, but were accounted for in estimates of [Cl$^-\text{]}$. I used the Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz equation to estimate [Cl$^-\text{]}$, which accounts for the relative permeability of GABA$_A$ receptors for bicarbonate (0.2, $E_{\text{HCO}_3} = −20$ mV).
3.2.4  *Cl*− imaging from acute SCN slices

Animals were entrained to a LD 12:12 cycle, with lights on at 11 AM for ‘day’ animals and 1 AM for ‘night’ animals. Experiments were done during ZT2-8 for day mice and ZT12-18 for night mice. *Cl*− Sensor fluorescent imaging was performed using epifluorescent methodology similar to that developed by Friedel et al. (2013). Excitation light was supplied by a monochrometer (Polychrome IV; Till Photonics) with a 10 nm bandwidth and passed through a UG11 optical filter. Excitation at 500 nm preceded excitation of CFP at 436 nm in order to minimize YFP inactivation (Friedel et al., 2013). Excitation durations ranged from 20 to 200 ms, with the excitation at 500 nm 1.5 times longer than that at 436 nm in order to give *R*_Cl values near 1. Fluorescent signal passed through a double bandpass emission filter [470(24) + 535(30) nm] (Chroma Technology Corporation). Imaging was performed with an upright fluorescent microscope and a 63x water-immersion objective, NA 0.90 (Leica). Images were acquired with a charge-coupled device camera (CCD, ORCA-ER 12 bit level; Hamamatsu). Camera gain was set to 100, and binning was 4x4. Equipment control and images processing were performed with Metafluor software (Molecular Devices). Regions of interest (ROI) were defined around neuronal soma, and a dim region of the field of view was selected to be background. Background values were subtracted for each wavelength independently. To obtain the chloride ratio (*R*_Cl), the fluorescent intensity after excitation with 436 nm was plotted over the intensity obtained after 500 nm light.

Because the YFP moiety of *Cl*− Sensor is quenched by *Cl*− ions, we choose to plot the emission following 436 nm excitation over that at 500 nm excitation so that *R*_Cl would be a proxy for [Cl−]i, with a higher ratio indicating higher [Cl−]. When sampling at
2 and 5 second intervals, an exposure-dependent increase of $R_{Cl}$ was observed, most likely due to an accumulation of Cl$^-$ Sensor’s YFP moiety in an inactivated state (Friedel et al., 2013). To correct for this instability, we fit our data with a single-exponential function, and subtracted the time-dependent term in order to detrend the data. This manipulation linearized the data and facilitated visual inspection. Despite this correction, we observed that steady-state $R_{Cl}$ remained sensitive to exposure (Figure 3.5). We corrected for this exposure-dependent trend in AVP+ and VIP+ neurons independently and observed no difference in steady-state $R_{Cl}$ between these two populations of neurons (Figure 3.5 E), allowing us to apply a combined exposure-dependent correction factor (0.0015/ms) to measures of $R_{Cl}$.

### 3.2.5 Calibration of Cl$^-$ Sensor and estimation of $[Cl^-]_i$

To calibrate Cl$^-$ Sensor, we made use of a 0 mM Cl$^-$ solution consisting of (in mM): 120 Na-gluconate, 26 NaHCO$_3$, 11 dextrose, 2.7 K-gluconate, 2 Ca-gluconate, 1 MgSO$_4$, and 1 NaH$_2$PO$_4$, saturated with 95% O$_2$, 5% CO$_2$. This solution was mixed with bicarbonate-buffered aCSF to produce solutions of 0, 4, 20, 40, 60, and 80, and 123 mM Cl$^-$. 50 µM β-escin was added to all calibration solutions to permeabilize cells. AVP-Cl Sensor and VIP-Cl Sensor day- and night-entrained mice were used for analysis. $R_{Cl}$ values were corrected for exposure as discussed. Average steady-state $R_{Cl}$ was plotted against chloride concentration (Figure 3.10) and calibration data was fit with the following logistic dose-response sigmoidal curve:

$$R_{Cl} = R_{max} + \frac{R_{max} - R_{min}}{1 + \left(\frac{[Cl^-]}{K_d}\right)^p}$$
Where $R_{Cl}$ is the fluorescence intensity ratio ($F_{436}/F_{500}$) for chloride, $K_d$ is the dissociation constant for Cl binding, $R_{\text{min}}$ and $R_{\text{max}}$ are the minimum and maximum asymptotic values of $R_{Cl}$, and $p$ is the Hill coefficient (Batti et al., 2013). To obtain estimates of $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$, we re-arranged the equation for $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$:

$$[\text{Cl}^-]_i = K_d \cdot \left(\frac{R_{\text{min}} - R_{\text{max}}}{R_{Cl} - R_{\text{max}}} - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

After fitting the curve, we obtained the following values: $K_d = 81.6 \text{ mM}$, $R_{\text{min}} = 0.82 \cdot R_{\text{max}} = 1.94$ and $p = 2.65$ (Figure 3.10). Our $K_d$ is approximately 20 mM higher than previously-reported values (Markova et al., 2008; Waseem et al., 2010; Batti et al., 2013). The reason for this discrepancy will require further analysis but may be related to differences between calibration solutions.

3.2.6 Long term imaging of Cl$^-$ Sensor

Using sterile techniques, brains were quickly removed and submerged in chilled Hanks’ buffered salt solution (HBSS). Cultures were prepared as described previously (Yamazaki et al. 2000; Abe et al., 2002). Briefly, the brain was blocked and 200 µm coronal slices were prepared with a vibratome (VT1000S, Leica Biosystems). SCN slices were trimmed to remove surrounding hypothalamic tissue, and positioned unto membrane inserts (Millicell Organotypic Cell Culture inserts, EMD Millipore). Inserts were placed in glass-bottomed 35 mm culture dishes (MatTek Corp.) containing 1.2 mL culture medium and sealed with sterile vacuum grease. Culture medium consisted of DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with B27, 20 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES, 4.2 mM NaHCO$_3$, 25 µg/mL penicillin, and 25 µg/mL streptomycin. Sealed dishes were
placed in a 36°C temperature-controlled chamber (ibidi GmbH), and imaged with an inverted fluorescent microscope (model ECLIPSE TE2000-U, Nikon), a CFP/YFP filter set (Chroma Technology, 51017) and an Evolve EMCCD camera (Photometrics). Excitation duration was between 500 and 1000 milliseconds. Images were acquired every 10 minutes with Metafluor software (Molecular Devices). Regions of interest (ROI) were defined around groups of fluorescent neurons on each side of the SCN. Background values were subtracted from each wavelength emission as above. Because experiments on organotypic slice cultures were done with different equipment (e.g. emission filters) from those done with acute slices, it is not possible to compare values of $R_{Cl}$ between these two sets of experiments. Similarly, values of $R_{Cl}$ can not be related to estimates of $[Cl^-]$, as calibration was only performed with acute SCN slices. Rhythmicity was determined with a nonparametric algorithm named JTK_Cycle run in R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing) (Hughes et al., 2010). A permutation-based $p$-value (ADJ.P) less than 0.05 was considered to indicate the presence of a statistically significant circadian rhythm.

3.2.7 Drugs

All drugs used in this study were acquired from Tocris Bioscience. Bumetanide and VU0240551 were dissolved in DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich) and stored as 10 mM stock solutions, and applied through the bath at 10 µM. A 100 mM stock of isoguvacine in water was diluted in ACSF to 1 mM and focally applied (5 psi) through a micropipette connected to a Picospritzer (General Valve Corporation).
3.2.8 Statistics and analysis

Igor Pro (Version 6.22A; Wavemetrics) was used for plotting, curve-fitting and data analysis. Data are presented as the mean ± standard error. For electrophysiological experiments, statistical significance was determined using either paired or unpaired Student’s t-tests. For imaging experiments, generalized estimating equations (GEE) were used to determine statistical significance (Zeger and Liang, 1986). A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3.3 Results 1: Electrophysiology

3.3.1 Whole-cell recordings from SCN neurons

Early investigations into \([\text{Cl}^-]\) regulation in SCN neurons were made with whole-cell patch clamp recording. Previous reports in the SCN and elsewhere have indicated that the pipette solution does not necessarily define \([\text{Cl}^-]\) (Wagner et al., 2001; Gonzalez-Islas et al., 2009). However, when using a pipette Cl\(^-\) concentration of 15 mM, \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) was measured to be \(-44.0 \pm 2.1\ \text{mV}\ (n=10, \text{Figures 3.1 A & B})\), closely reflecting the GABAergic reversal potential predicted by the Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz equation for the GABA\(_A\) receptor. Furthermore, \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) tracked with changes in pipette Cl\(^-\) concentration: changing pipette Cl\(^-\) from 15 to 6.2 mM produced an \(-18.2\ \text{mV}\) change in \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) (\(E_{\text{GABA}} = -62.2 \pm 1.2\ \text{mV}, n=8, \text{Figures 3.1 C & D}\)), once again similar to that predicted by a Nernstian relationship. Therefore, in whole-cell recording, \([\text{Cl}^-]\) is largely clamped by the pipette’s concentration of chloride. This methodological limitation could explain why blocking NKCC1 with bumetanide had no effect on \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) (\(-44.5 \pm 1.5\ \text{mV when [Cl}^-]_p\ was 15\ \text{mM, n}=8\)).
Although whole-cell recording was determined to be insufficient to assess resting \([\text{Cl}^-]\), whole-cell recording may still be informative of cell \(\text{Cl}^-\) transport mechanisms after \([\text{Cl}^-]\) manipulation (Wagner et al., 2001). Therefore, I performed whole-cell recordings of SCN neurons to manipulate \([\text{Cl}^-]\) and monitor the recovery process. A high concentration (1 mM) of GABA was dissolved in aCSF and brief (5-20 ms) puff applications of GABA were directed toward the neuronal soma every 10 seconds. These applications produced inward currents when cells were held at –60 mV. The response amplitude of these currents was monitored throughout the course of the experiment. After several baseline trials, the cell was challenged with a protocol designed to deplete \([\text{Cl}^-]\): the holding potential was hyperpolarized during a one second application of GABA. This protocol produced large inward currents indicative of \(\text{Cl}^-\) efflux. Following this large \(\text{Cl}^-\) efflux, test pulses of GABA were performed as previously to monitor the recovery of the response amplitude. In several experiments, the GABA-evoked current reversed direction, indicating successful manipulation of \([\text{Cl}^-]\) (Figure 3.1 F). The responses gradually recovered to their original amplitude with an average \(\tau\) of recovery of 17.8 ± 1.5 seconds (n=6, Figure 3.1 E). This rate of recovery was assumed to reflect cell \(\text{Cl}^-\) loading mechanisms such as the activity of NKCC1. However, this recovery in GABA-mediated current amplitude may reflect a recovery from GABA\(_A\) receptor desensitization. Therefore, the recovery after a minimal \(\text{Cl}^-\) flux was examined. In these experiments, 1 second of 1 mM GABA was directed to the cell as previously; however, the holding potential was maintained close to the cell's measured GABAergic reversal potential, inducing only minor \(\text{Cl}^-\) influx. Once again, the amplitude of the GABA-evoked current was seen to recover with a similar timecourse.
Therefore, the measured time constant of recovery not only represents homeostatic stabilization of \([\text{Cl}^-]\), but also the \(\text{GABA}_A\) receptor's recovery from desensitization. Indeed, the recovery for desensitization for the \(\text{GABA}_A\) receptor has been reported to have a time constant of 10 to 90 seconds, depending on cell type (Kaila, 1994; Barberis et al., 2011). Furthermore, the rate of recovery was not sensitive to bumetanide \((\tau = 17.3 \pm 2.6\) seconds, \(n=6, p>0.05\) using the Student’s \(t\)-test). Collectively, these experiments indicated that the pipette solution clamped \([\text{Cl}^-]\) in whole-cell recordings, and argued for the need for techniques that would not perturb the native \([\text{Cl}^-]\).

### 3.3.2 Regulation of \([\text{Cl}^-]\) by NKCC1

The gramicidin ionophore is selectively permeable to cations but not anions. Therefore, perforation of the cell membrane with gramicidin provides electrical continuity with the cell without disrupting native \([\text{Cl}^-]\). The first set of perforated-patch recordings targeted neurons in the dorsomedial SCN during the animal's subjective night, as previous studies have observed that excitatory GABA transmission is more common in the dorsomedial SCN during the night (Albus et al., 2005; Choi et al., 2008; Irwin and Allen, 2009), and NKCC1 expression was found to be prevalent in the dorsomedial SCN (Belenky et al., 2010). After successful perforation of the membrane, \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) was monitored with voltage ramp protocols (Figure 3.2 A). Voltage ramp protocols were the preferred method of assessing \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) assessment as they minimize net \(\text{Cl}^-\) flux and are not sensitive to receptor availability (e.g., desensitization). GABA was puff-applied toward the soma to activate \(\text{GABA}_A\) receptors, and a subsequent voltage ramp was administered. To quantify neuronal \(\text{Cl}^-\) influx mechanisms, a \(\text{Cl}^-\) depletion protocol was
implemented, similar to that described previously. Following Cl\(^-\) depletion, voltage ramps were run every 10 seconds to monitor the rate of \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) recovery (Figure 3.2). In control conditions, the average GABAergic reversal potential was \(-37.9 \pm 1.9\) mV (n=7) and the timecourse of recovery had an average \(\tau\) of \(28.2 \pm 3.7\) seconds (n=7). This protocol was repeated after the application of 10 \(\mu\)M bumetanide, to block NKCC1. Inhibiting NKCC1 with bumetanide was expected to hyperpolarize \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) and slow the rate of Cl\(^-\) loading following Cl\(^-\) depletion. However, bumetanide did not alter \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) or the rate of recovery (Student’s \(t\)-test, \(p>0.05\) for both parameters). In the presence of bumetanide, the average GABAergic reversal potential was \(-32.8 \pm 4.9\) mV (n=7, Figure 3.1 F) and the timecourse of recovery following Cl\(^-\) depletion had an average \(\tau\) of \(23.2 \pm 3.2\) seconds (n=7, Figure 3.1 G).

In a separate set of experiments, the contribution of NKCC1 to SCN Cl\(^-\) regulation was investigated in dorsomedial neurons recorded during the animals’ subjective day. The GABAergic reversal potential measured during the day (\(E_{\text{GABA}} = -39.0 \pm 3.5\) mV, n=11) did not differ from that measured during the night. Once again, 10 \(\mu\)M bumetanide did not alter \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) or the timecourse of recovery (\(E_{\text{GABA}} = -38.8 \pm 2.3\) mV (n=11); \(\tau = 23.4\) seconds \(\pm 2.8\) (n=11) in control and 21.9 \(\pm 1.5\) seconds in bumetanide). Collectively, these results argue that NKCC1 is not involved in setting \([\text{Cl}^-]_i\) or mediating homeostatic Cl\(^-\) influx in dorsomedial neurons in either the day or the night. Alternatively, if NKCC1 is constitutively active in SCN neurons, other Cl\(^-\) uptake pathways could be masking or compensating for the loss of NKCC1. Further experiments will be necessary to address these possibilities.
3.3.3 Regulation of \([\text{Cl}^-]\) by KCC2

To test for the activity of KCC2 in setting \([\text{Cl}^-]\), gramicidin perforated patch recordings were targeted to neurons in the ventrolateral SCN, as a greater density of KCC2 expression was observed in the ventrolateral SCN (Belenky et al., 2008). Recordings were performed during the day, as previous studies have observed that excitatory GABA transmission is more common at night. Therefore, KCC2, which keeps \([\text{Cl}^-]\) low and GABA inhibitory, may be most active during the day. As discussed, voltage ramp protocols were used to monitor \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) (Figure 3.3 A). Interestingly, \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) was significantly more hyperpolarized in ventrolateral neurons compared to dorsomedial neurons (day recordings, \(E_{\text{GABA}} = -52.7 \pm 4.7\) mV, \(n=11\), \(p<0.05\), \(t\)-test). To test for the activity of KCC2 in setting resting \([\text{Cl}^-]\), KCC2 was blocked by adding 20 \(\mu\)M VU0240551 (VU) to the bath. VU depolarized \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) to \(-25.6 \pm 5.0\) mV (\(n=7\), \(p<0.001\), paired \(t\)-test, Figure 3.3 F), indicating that KCC2 is involved in setting resting \([\text{Cl}^-]\).

To test for the activity of KCC2 in homeostatic \(\text{Cl}^-\) efflux, \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) was assessed every 10 seconds after a \(\text{Cl}^-\) loading protocol (Figure 3.3 B). In control conditions, the time constant of recovery was \(55.2 \pm 17.2\) seconds (\(n=5\)). Blocking KCC2 with 20 \(\mu\)M VU, slowed the recovery process slowed to \(111.9 \pm 38.1\) seconds (\(n=5\), \(p<0.05\), paired \(t\)-test, Figure 3.3 E & G), indicating that VU contributes to \(\text{Cl}^-\) efflux after a large \(\text{Cl}^-\) influx. Collectively, these results indicate that KCC2 is involved in setting \([\text{Cl}^-]\), and contributes to homeostatic \(\text{Cl}^-\) efflux.
3.3.4 Regulation of $[\text{Cl}^-]$ in AVP+ neurons

Previous studies have observed that GABAergic excitation is more common in the dorsomedial region of the SCN (Choi et al., 2008; Irwin and Allen, 2009), which is populated with vasopressin-expressing (AVP+) neurons. Interestingly, in the nearby paraventricular zone, AVP+ neurons have been shown to have depolarized $E_{\text{GABA}}$ values compared to the AVP− neurons within the nuclei (Haam et al., 2012). Therefore, we used a transgenic rat line where eGFP is fused to AVP (Ueta et al., 2005) to target recordings to AVP+ neurons in the SCN. For these recordings, bicarbonate was removed from the extracellular solution to minimize any influence of the bicarbonate/chloride exchangers on $[\text{Cl}^-]$. Instead, pH buffering was accomplished with 20 mM HEPES. Furthermore, $[\text{Cl}^-]_o$ was adjusted to 122 mM in order to mimic physiological extracellular chloride concentrations (Schrock and Kuschinsky, 1989; Delpire and Staley, 2014). $[\text{Cl}^-]_p$ was adjusted to 122 mM and red dye was added to the pipette solution in order to ensure the integrity of the perforated patch.

$E_{\text{GABA}}$ was measured with voltage ramp protocols as previously discussed. AVP+ neurons demonstrated no circadian change in $E_{\text{GABA}}$ (Figure 3.4 A). In order to test for a role of NKCC1 in setting $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ in AVP+ neurons, 40 µM bumetanide was added to the bath in a subset of neurons. Since little effect was observed previously at 10 µM, the concentration of bumetanide was increased to 40 µM to ensure full blockade of NKCC1 (Haam et al., 2012). On average, bumetanide decreased $E_{\text{GABA}}$ by 3.8 mV ($p < 0.001$, t-test, Figure 3.4 B). The effect of bumetanide cannot be attributed to gradual loss of the perforated patch over time because dialyzation of the pipette solution would be expected to increase $E_{\text{GABA}}$. Interestingly, blocking NKCC1 did not hyperpolarize
$E_{\text{GABA}}$ below $V_m$, suggesting that other $\text{Cl}^-$ uptake mechanisms besides that mediated by NKCC1 underlie the relatively depolarized value of $E_{\text{GABA}}$. Indeed, the resting $E_{\text{GABA}}$ had no relation to the change in $E_{\text{GABA}}$ after bumetanide (Figure 3.4 C).

3.4 Results 2: $\text{Cl}^-$ imaging

3.4.1 Abstract

Several reports have described excitatory GABA transmission in the SCN. Unfortunately, these reports have disagreeed on the circadian phase and neuronal location of the phenomenon—therefore, the nature of excitatory GABA transmission in the SCN has remained elusive. Here, using newly developed $\text{Cl}^-$ imaging techniques, we show that activation of GABA$_A$ receptors elicits $\text{Cl}^-$ influx in both AVP and VIP-expressing SCN neurons. The intracellular chloride concentration [$\text{Cl}^-$]$_i$ was found to be regulated by KCC2 to a greater degree than by NKCC1, suggesting that the KCCs sets [$\text{Cl}^-$]$_i$ in SCN neurons. Interestingly, [$\text{Cl}^-$]$_i$ demonstrated a circadian rhythm in AVP+ neurons, but not VIP+ neurons. We conclude that GABA is inhibitory in both AVP+ and VIP+ neurons, but is under circadian regulation in AVP+ neurons.

3.4.2 Introduction

The suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the anterior hypothalamus is the master pacemaker of the circadian system. Besides a cohort of neuropeptides, SCN neurons express and package the neurotransmitter GABA. GABA transmission has been implicated in regulating synaptic input from the RHT (Moldavan et al., 2006), mediating
phase shift (Gillespie et al., 1996; Gillespie et al., 1997), regulating firing frequency (Kononenko and Dudek, 2004), and contributing to circadian synchrony (Liu and Reppert, 2000; Albus et al., 2005; Evans et al., 2013; Freeman et al., 2013).

GABA is the primary inhibitory neurotransmitter in the CNS, but has been observed to be excitatory during embryonic and neonatal development, in certain pathologies, as well as in several areas of the adult brain (Gamba, 2005; Blaesse et al., 2009; Ben-Ari et al., 2012). Interestingly, several reports have described excitatory GABA transmission in the mature SCN (Wagner et al., 1997; Wagner et al., 2001; De Jeu and Pennartz, 2002; Albus et al., 2005; Choi et al., 2008; Irwin and Allen, 2009; Freeman et al., 2013; Alamilla et al., 2014; Farajnia et al., 2014; Fan et al., 2015). Unfortunately, these reports have disagreed on the circadian phase and neuronal location of the phenomenon—therefore, the nature of excitatory GABA transmission in the SCN has remained elusive.

We re-examined excitatory GABA transmission in the SCN using ratiometric Cl\(^-\) imaging. The GABA\(_A\) receptor is permeable to Cl\(^-\) ions, and whether GABA is depolarizing or hyperpolarizing depends on the intracellular concentration of chloride ([Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\)). Cl\(^-\) imaging allows estimation of [Cl\(^-\)], from multiple cells simultaneously without disrupting the cellular milieu. Furthermore, transgenic strategies offered us the ability to measure [Cl\(^-\)], in genetically-defined subpopulations of SCN neurons. Cl\(^-\) Sensor is composed of a CFP moiety linked to a YFP moiety whose emission is sensitive to chloride with a K\(_d\) of approximately 50 mM. Using epifluorescence microscopy, we were able to elicit and detect both fast and slow changes of [Cl\(^-\)], in SCN neurons. Our results indicate that GABA transmission is inhibitory in AVP+ and VIP+ neurons in the
SCN, but that $[\text{Cl}^-]$, is differentially regulated between these two populations of neurons. Further, we show that $[\text{Cl}^-]$, demonstrates a circadian rhythm in AVP+ neurons.

3.4.3 Results

Vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) and vasopressin (AVP) mark the “core” and “shell” partition that has been a useful anatomical model for dissecting SCN function. Generally, sensory inputs project to the SCN core, while core neurons synapse unto neurons in the shell. Several reports have suggested that excitatory GABA transmission may correlate with this anatomical feature. Therefore, we performed Cl$^-$ imaging in VIP+ and AVP+ neurons of the SCN to look for differences in $[\text{Cl}^-]$, regulation between these two populations of neurons. To image Cl$^-$ fluxes in neurons, we used a newly-developed Cre-inducible mouse line, with a floxed Cl$^-$ Sensor allele inserted into the Rosa26 locus (Batti et al., 2013). To obtain Cl$^-$ Sensor expression in the SCN, we crossed these mice with either VIP-IRES-Cre mice or AVP-IRES-Cre mice to give Cl$^-$ Sensor expression in either VIP+ or vasopressin AVP+ neurons (Taniguchi et al., 2011; Harris et al., 2014). The resultant VIP-CI Sensor mice displayed Cl$^-$ Sensor expression in the ventrolateral SCN, while the AVP-CI Sensor mice displayed Cl$^-$ Sensor expression in the dorsomedial SCN, as previously reported for VIP and AVP expression (Figure 3.5, Van den Pol, 1986).

We used epifluorescent microscopy to monitor $[\text{Cl}^-]$, in SCN neurons of acute brain slices. We excited the sample with 500 nm light followed by 436 nm light and monitored emission through a double-bandpass filter, after the method developed by (Friedel et al., 2013). To obtain the chloride ratio ($R_{\text{Cl}}$), we plotted the emission from
436 nm excitation light over that at 500 nm light, so that an increase in $R_{Cl}$ indicates an increase in $[Cl^-]$. Several reports have described regional variability of excitatory GABA transmission, with some agreement that it is more common in the dorsal SCN, suggesting that this phenomenon may be specific to AVP+ neurons. Indeed, in the nearby paraventricular nucleus, Haam et al. observed that AVP+ neurons had a more depolarized $E_{GABA}$ relative to their AVP− neighbors (Haam et al., 2012). To determine whether AVP+ neurons demonstrate higher $[Cl^-]_i$ relative to VIP+ neurons, we compared $R_{Cl}$ between VIP+ and AVP+ neurons. After correcting for differences in exposure, there was no difference in steady-state $R_{Cl}$ between VIP+ or AVP+ neurons. Average $R_{Cl}$ was 0.84 (n=258, standard deviation: 0.14) and 0.86 (n=320, standard deviation: 0.26) in AVP+ and VIP+ neurons respectively (Figure 3.5 E). These values correspond to $[Cl^-]_i$ estimates of 19.3 (AVP+) and 23.1 (VIP+) mM.

To examine whether $[Cl^-]_i$ may be under circadian regulation, we performed long-term imaging of SCN slice cultures, to monitor $R_{Cl}$ over several days in VIP+ and AVP+ neurons. We found no circadian variation in $R_{Cl}$ when monitored in VIP+ neurons but a small amplitude circadian rhythm in AVP+ neurons ($p < 0.001$ with JTK_CYCLE). Figure 3.6). In AVP+ neurons, $R_{Cl}$ was found to peak near ZT12, indicating that $[Cl^-]_i$ is elevated during the late day and early night. Because our calibration curve was performed with different equipment (e.g. emission filters) than these long-term imaging experiments, it is not possible to relate these measures of $R_{Cl}$ to estimates of $[Cl^-]_i$.

A hyperpolarizing action of GABA will involve $Cl^-$ influx, while a depolarizing action will involve $Cl^-$ efflux. To assess the polarity of GABA transmission in SCN neurons, we returned to acute SCN slices. Upon puff application of a high
concentration of the GABA\textsubscript{A} agonist isoguvacine, R\textsubscript{Cl} increased in AVP+ neurons during the day and night, indicative of Cl\textsuperscript{−} influx and normal inhibitory GABA transmission (Figure 3.7, top row). Cl\textsuperscript{−} influx was also observed in VIP+ neurons in both the day and the night (Figure 3.7, bottom row). These results indicate that GABA is inhibitory in both AVP+ and VIP+ SCN neurons.

GABA\textsubscript{A}-induced Cl\textsuperscript{−} transients lasted on the order of minutes. The long recovery time of R\textsubscript{Cl} can be attributed to a slow washout of isoguvacine from the slice, slowly-desensitizing GABA\textsubscript{A}-mediated Cl\textsuperscript{−} currents, and/or due to the action of the cation chloride cotransporters (CCCs), which transport Cl\textsuperscript{−} ions either into or out of neurons. Indeed, in other neurons it has been shown that transient shifts in GABA polarity can last for minutes (Staley and Proctor, 1999; Lamsa and Taira, 2003). In a separate set of experiments using gramicidin perforated-patch recording, the GABAergic reversal potential was found to require more than a minute to return to resting levels after a large Cl\textsuperscript{−} load (see Figure 3.3), indicating that the timecourse of these Cl\textsuperscript{−} transients may indeed reflect Cl\textsuperscript{−} transport.

We next tested the degree to which the CCCs set [Cl\textsuperscript{−}]\textsubscript{i} in SCN neurons. Since our GABA\textsubscript{A}-induced Cl\textsuperscript{−} transients indicated inhibitory Cl\textsuperscript{−} influx upon GABA\textsubscript{A} receptor activation, we first tested the contribution of KCC2, the neuron-specific CCC considered responsible for keeping [Cl\textsuperscript{−}]\textsubscript{i} levels low in neurons throughout the brain. To test for the activity of KCC2, we used 10 \textmu M of VU0240551, an antagonist of the KCCs (Delpire et al., 2009). VU increased R\textsubscript{Cl} by approximately 0.18 in AVP+ and 0.27 in VIP+ neurons. VU had a significantly greater effect in VIP+ neurons compared to AVP+ neurons (p<0.05 with GEE), but there were no day/night differences within neuron type (Figure
Based on our calibration curve, we estimate the changes in $R_{Cl}$ to reflect a 27 mM increase in $[Cl^-]$ in AVP+ neurons and a 33 mM increase in VIP+ neurons. We interpret these findings to indicate that the KCC family of chloride transporters plays a major role in $[Cl^-]$ regulation in SCN neurons. Interestingly, the mechanism mediating this increase in $[Cl^-]$ after blocking KCC is not likely to be mediated by NKCC1, as sensitivity to VU was unchanged in the presence of bumetanide (data not shown).

Previous studies have implicated NKCC1 in $[Cl^-]$ regulation in SCN neurons (Choi et al., 2008; Irwin and Allen, 2009; Belenky et al., 2010; Farajnia et al., 2014). Indeed, our estimates of $[Cl^-]$, suggested that there may be active uptake of $Cl^-$ in SCN neurons. To test for a contribution of NKCC1 to resting $[Cl^-]$, we used the loop diuretic bumetanide which selectively targets NKCC1 when used at 10 µM (Russell, 2000). Bumetanide increased $R_{Cl}$ in AVP+ neurons by approximately 0.04 and decreased $R_{Cl}$ in VIP+ neurons by 0.04 units (Figure 3.9 C). These changes were small but significantly different from baseline (p<0.005 with GEE). Once again, we observed differential sensitivity between AVP+ and VIP+ neurons (p<0.001 with GEE), but no any day/night differences within neuron type. Based on our calibration curve, we estimate that bumetanide caused an increase in $[Cl^-]$ by 9 mM in AVP+ neurons, and a decrease by 15 mM in VIP+ neurons. Remarkably, bumetanide increased $[Cl^-]$ in AVP+ neurons, contrary to its expected role in blocking $Cl^-$ uptake. This result may be due to off-target effects of bumetanide, which is known to inhibit KCCs at higher concentrations ($K_i \sim 25-50$ µm). In either case, we observed relatively small effects of bumetanide compared to VU, suggesting that the KCCs are the major regulator of $[Cl^-]$ in SCN neurons. Interestingly, we observed differential effects of bumetanide and VU between VIP+ and
AVP+ neurons, a finding which may be related to the observed cycling of $[\text{Cl}^-]$ in AVP+ SCN neurons.

3.4.4 Discussion

We performed somatic $\text{Cl}^-$ imaging and showed $\text{Cl}^-$ influx following puff application of GABA agonists. Our results suggest that GABA is inhibitory at all times of day in both the AVP+ and VIP+ SCN neurons in both day and night. Our results add to a group of studies that have concluded that GABA is exclusively inhibitory in the mature SCN (Liou and Albers, 1990; Mason et al., 1991; Bos and Mirmiran, 1993; Chen et al., 1996; Liu and Reppert, 2000). However, it should be remembered that the SCN is a very heterogeneous nuclei and that AVP and VIP-expressing neurons only constitute ~13% and ~9% of all SCN neurons respectively (Welsh et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2015), leaving open the possibility that our study overlooked the relevant population(s) of neurons with excitatory GABA.

Our methodology did not allow us to investigate subcellular changes in $R_{\text{Cl}}$ after GABA$_A$ receptor activation. Therefore, it is possible that GABA transmission elicits $[\text{Cl}^-]$ efflux in either the axons or dendrites of SCN neurons. Indeed, intracellular $\text{Cl}^-$ gradients have been reported in several types of neurons throughout the brain (see Wright et al. 2011 for review). For example, a two-photon $\text{Cl}^-$ imaging study observed a somatodendritic chloride gradient in a class of retinal bipolar cells, concluding that $\text{Cl}^-$ is 20 mM higher in dendrites relative to the soma (Duebel et al., 2006). A somatodendritic $\text{Cl}^-$ gradient could explain why previous studies have shown GABA-evoked $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ transients in SCN neurons, supporting an excitatory role of GABA, while we have
reported inhibitory Cl− influx. While dendritic depolarization may be able to activate somatic voltage-gated calcium channels, Cl− efflux at dendrites may not be registered by somatic Cl− imaging. Similarly, somatodendritic Cl− gradients could also explain why bumetanide had a relatively large effect on GABA-evoked Ca2+ transients but only small effects in our experiments. Higher-resolution imaging techniques will be necessary to address whether somatodendritic Cl− gradients exist in SCN neurons.

We observed large changes in RCl after application of the KCC antagonist VU, but small changes after blocking NKCC1 with bumetanide. VU increased [Cl−], by approximately 20 mM, suggesting that the KCCs are the major determinants of [Cl−]; in SCN neurons. For a neuron with a Vm of −55 mV, Cl− is passively distributed at approximately 15 mM. Therefore, our estimates of resting [Cl−], (~20 mM) indicate that there are constitutively active uptake mechanisms in SCN neurons. In VIP+ neurons, blocking NKCC1 decreased [Cl−], supporting a role for NKCC1 in Cl− uptake. However, in AVP+ neurons, bumetanide caused a decrease in [Cl−];, suggesting that other uptake mechanisms are active in AVP+ neurons. This Cl− uptake may be mediated by the anion exchangers, which transport intracellular bicarbonate for extracellular chloride (Alka and Casey, 2014).

Interestingly, we observed that [Cl−]i is under circadian regulation in AVP+ neurons. [Cl−]i was found to be elevated in the late day and reduced during the late night. This finding is in agreement with a recent gramicidin perforate patch study that concluded that during the day the GABAergic potential is approximately −30 mV, but is −60 mV during the night (Alamilla et al., 2014). We did not observe that [Cl−]i was under circadian regulation in VIP+ neurons, highlighting that [Cl−]i is differentially regulated.
within the SCN. Accordingly, AVP+ and VIP+ neurons differed in their sensitivity to both VU and bumetanide. Previous in situ hybridization (Kanaka et al., 2001) and immunocytochemical (Belenky et al., 2008) studies have described regional expression of chloride transporters in the rat SCN. Specifically, KCC2 expression was limited to the ventrolateral SCN, and colocalized with neurons containing GRP or VIP. Markedly, KCC2 expression was absent from the dorsomedial SCN and did not colocalize with AVP—rather, KCC3 and KCC4 were found in the dorsomedial SCN (Belenky et al., 2010). Despite the paucity of KCC2 in the dorsomedial SCN, we observed that VU increased [Cl\(^-\)] in AVP+ neurons. This result may be explained by non-specificity of VU for KCC2 (Delpire et al., 2009), suggesting that VU inhibited KCC3 or KCC4 in AVP+ neurons. Further, Belenky et al. reported a greater density of NKCC1 expression in the dorsomedial SCN. Together, these findings may explain the differential effects of VU and bumetanide in AVP+ neurons compared to VIP+ neurons.

Recently, the role of the CCC’s in determining [Cl\(^-\)] has come under scrutiny by compelling two-photon Cl\(^-\) imaging results which argue for the primacy of large intracellular anions in setting [Cl\(^-\)] (Glykys et al., 2014). In their study, Glycks et al. observed little effect of blocking either NKCC1 or KCC2 in hippocampal and neocortical pyramidal neurons, while we found a small effect of bumetanide and a clear effect of VU. Neuron type could underlie this difference. Alternatively, while we monitored R\(_{\text{Cl}}\) continuously, Glycks et al. sampled R\(_{\text{Cl}}\) before and 30 minutes after application of bumetanide and VU, leaving open the possibility that secondary homeostatic mechanisms reset [Cl\(^-\)] during that time.
Unfortunately, the descriptions of excitatory GABA transmission in the SCN have been riddled with discrepancies. Differences in methodology are likely to underlie some of these discrepancies. Whole-cell, perforated-patch, cell-attached, and multi-unit recording techniques as well as Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging have all been used to address the polarity of GABA transmission in the SCN. The complexities of intracellular Cl\(^{-}\) regulation may have also contributed to the inconsistencies between studies of SCN GABA transmission. Besides neurotransmission, [Cl\(^{-}\)]\(_i\) is an important cellular feature linked to processes such as pH regulation, cell volume regulation, and even membrane potential (Gamba, 2005; Blaesse et al., 2009). Therefore, cell turgidity as well as the osmolarity and pH of solutions are all likely to influence measures of [Cl\(^{-}\)]\(_i\) (Delpire and Staley, 2014). Further, [Cl\(^{-}\)]\(_i\) has been shown to change after neuronal damage, and in relation to the proximity of cells to the surface of a brain slice (Kahle et al., 2008; Dzhala et al., 2012).

Studies have not adequately ruled out the possibility that disinhibition underlies the observed excitatory effects of GABA transmission in the SCN. The SCN network is known to have diffuse local connectivity. Therefore, polysynaptic effects must be considered when applying GABA agonists to SCN neurons. Without the inclusion of TTX, GABA-mediated inhibition of pre-synaptic inputs could be read out as excitation in the cell of interest. Further, some of the data in support of excitatory GABA transmission has been inferred by the effects of the GABA\(_A\) antagonist bicuculline. Regrettably, these results are confounded by the off-target effects of bicuculline, which is known to antagonize SK channels at commonly used concentrations (Khawaled et al., 1999). Indeed, SK channels have been shown to contribute to the
afterhyperpolarization and spike-frequency adaptation in SCN neurons (Teshima et al., 2003).

We have successfully implemented Cl⁻ imaging techniques in SCN neurons, and have demonstrated their utility for monitoring both transient Cl⁻ fluxes and [Cl⁻]i regulation. The development of genetically-encoded indicators and effectors has prompted unprecedented dissection of neural circuitry. Cl⁻ imaging offers several advantages over gramicidin perforated patch recording, facilitating cell-targeting strategies, large sampling, and long-term recording of [Cl⁻]i. Further, imaging leaves neuronal Vₘ unperturbed. However, Cl⁻ Sensor has room for improvement. Cl⁻ Sensor’s sensitivity to Cl⁻ is not optimal for general neuronal concentrations of chloride. Further, the H⁺ sensitivity of the indicator within a physiological pH range can complicate the interpretation of results. We also observed a markedly greater rate of photobleaching for YFP compared to CFP, making Cl⁻ Sensor difficult to use as a true ratiometric indicator.

3.4.5 Supplemental data

Due to the pH sensitivity of Cl⁻ Sensor, we performed a series of experiments in HEPES-buffered aCSF. HEPES has a greater buffering capacity than bicarbonate, thereby increasing pH stability in the brain slice preparation. HEPES offers the added benefit of reducing the bicarbonate conductance through the GABAₐ receptor. As previously discussed, we puff-applied isoguvacine to AVP+ and VIP+ neurons in acute SCN slices. Remarkably, GABAₐ receptor activation elicited decreases of Rᵢ in both
AVP+ and VIP+ neurons in these experiments, indicative of Cl⁻ efflux and depolarizing GABA transmission (Figure 3.11).

Because we observed Cl⁻ efflux in the HEPES-buffered solution, but Cl⁻ influx in bicarbonate-buffered solutions, we wondered if [Cl⁻]ᵢ was differently regulated in the HEPES. Therefore, we repeated experiments to block the action of the KCCs and NKCC1 with VU and bumetanide, respectively. Blocking KCC with VU gave a pattern of results similar to that observed with bicarbonate: VU elicited an increase in Rₘᵢ in all conditions, indicative of [Cl⁻]ᵢ increase (Figure 3.12 A). Once again, VU had a larger effect in VIP+ neurons compared to AVP+ neurons. Interestingly, the amplitude of VU effect in VIP+ neurons was similar to that observed in bicarbonate, but was smaller in AVP+ neurons. Blocking NKCC1 with bumetanide decreased Rₘᵢ in all conditions (Figure 3.12 B), indicative of a reduction in [Cl⁻]ᵢ as expected when blocking Cl⁻ uptake. This observation stands in contrast to bicarbonate-buffered experiments, where bumetanide increased Rₘᵢ in AVP+ neurons. Still, bumetanide once again differentially effected Rₘᵢ in AVP+ and VIP+ neurons, causing a greater decrease in VIP+ neurons. For an interpretation of these results, please see Chapter 4, Discussion.
Figure 3.1: Whole-cell recordings from SCN neurons.

(A) Example voltage-clamp protocol (inset) and GABA-evoked currents used to determine $E_{\text{GABA}}$ in an SCN neuron recorded with a pipette solution where $[\text{Cl}^-]_p = 15 \text{ mM}$. (B) IV relationship between $V_{\text{command}}$ and peak GABA-evoked current derived from Fig. A. $E_{\text{GABA}}$ was determined as the point where the fit crossed the voltage axis. (C) Voltage-clamp protocol and GABA-evoked currents used to determine $E_{\text{GABA}}$ in an SCN neuron recorded using a $[\text{Cl}^-]_p$ of 6 mM. (D) IV relationship derived from Fig. C. Note that $E_{\text{GABA}}$ is hyperpolarized compared to Fig. B. (E) GABA-evoked current amplitudes plotted against time when $V_{\text{command}}$ was either negative to $E_{\text{GABA}}$ (blue) or near $E_{\text{GABA}}$ (green) during a long GABA application. GABA-evoked currents at baseline (E, inset) and during the recovery process (Figs. F and G). $\tau$ for these two examples are 15.5 and 9.7 seconds, respectively.
Figure 3.2: NKCC1 does not contribute to resting $[\text{Cl}^-]$, or the recovery following $\text{Cl}^-$ depletion. (A) Voltage ramp protocol (top) and example current trace (bottom) used to determine resting $E_{\text{GABA}}$. (B) Chloride depletion protocol (top) and resultant current trace (bottom). The cell was hyperpolarized in the presence of GABA in order to drive $\text{Cl}^-$ efflux. (C) After the depletion event, voltage ramp protocols were again used to monitor the recovery of $E_{\text{GABA}}$. (D) IV plots derived from voltage ramp protocols recorded approximately every 10 seconds, before and after the chloride depletion event. Following the chloride depletion event, $E_{\text{GABA}}$ is hyperpolarized and subsequently returns to its control value. (E) $E_{\text{GABA}}$ plotted against time showing the recovery of $E_{\text{GABA}}$ after the chloride depletion protocol. $E_{\text{GABA}}$ values are fit with a monoexponential function before ($\tau = 21.9 \text{ s}$) and after the application of bumetanide ($\tau = 20.0 \text{ s}$). Bumetanide caused no significant change in either $E_{\text{GABA}}$ (F) or the timecourse of recovery after depletion (G). Cells were selected from the dorsal SCN during the night.
Figure 3.3: The KCCs regulate $[\text{Cl}^-]$ in SCN neurons.

(A) Voltage ramp protocol (top) and example current trace (bottom) used to determine native $E_{\text{GABA}}$. (B) Chloride loading protocol (top) and resultant current trace (bottom). (C) After the loading event, voltage ramp protocols were used again to monitor the recovery of $E_{\text{GABA}}$. (D) IV plots derived from the voltage ramp protocol recorded approximately every 10 seconds, before and after the loading event. Following the chloride loading event, $E_{\text{GABA}}$ is depolarized and subsequently recovers to its control value. (E) Example experiment showing the recovery of the GABAergic reversal potential after the $\text{Cl}^-$ loading protocol. $E_{\text{GABA}}$ is plotted against time and fit with a monoexponential function before ($\tau = 56.2$ s, black squares) and after the addition of 20 $\mu$M VU ($\tau = 197.9$ s, blue circles). VU increased $E_{\text{GABA}}$ (E, $p<0.001$, paired $t$-test) and slowed the timecourse of recovery (F, $p<0.05$, paired $t$-test). Cells were selected from the ventral SCN during the day.
Figure 3.4: Perforated-patch recordings from AVP+ neurons.
(A) $E_{\text{GABA}}$ was recorded from 26 AVP+ neurons, visually identified in slices from the AVP-eGFP rat line. $E_{\text{GABA}}$ showed no clear relationship to the animal's light-dark cycle (ZT0 = time of lights on, ZT12 = time of lights off). (B) On average, 40 µM bumetanide hyperpolarized $E_{\text{GABA}}$ by ~4 mV in AVP+ SCN neurons ($p<0.001$, t-test). The open circles represent cells recorded during the day, while the filled circles represent cells recorded during the night. (C) The resting $E_{\text{GABA}}$ in AVP+ neurons showed no relationship to the change in $E_{\text{GABA}}$ after bumetanide.
Figure 3.5: \( R_{\text{Cl}} \) did not vary by cell type.

Epifluorescent images demonstrating regional expression of Cl\(^{-} \) Sensor in the SCN of AVP-Cl Sensor (A) and VIP-Cl Sensor (B) mice. Steady-state \( R_{\text{Cl}} \) values obtained from AVP+ (C) and VIP+ (D) neurons were sensitive to exposure duration. Each point represents a single region of interest placed around a neuronal soma. (E) When correcting for this trend for each mouse independently, no difference in average \( R_{\text{Cl}} \) was found between the AVP+ and VIP+ neurons.
Figure 3.6: Long-term imaging of $R_{Cl}$ from SCN explants.
(A) Long-term imaging of $R_{Cl}$ from a AVP-Cl Sensor mouse. Each trace represents a measure of $R_{Cl}$ from a cluster of AVP+ neurons in the left or right SCN. Circadian rhythmicity was detected with JTK_CYCLE ($p < 0.001$, period 23.0 hours). $[Cl^-]$ is high during the day and peaks near the time of lights off (ZT 12). (B) Long-term imaging of $R_{Cl}$ from a VIP-Cl Sensor mouse. Each trace represents a measure of $R_{Cl}$ from a cluster of VIP+ neurons in the left and right SCN. No rhythmicity was detected.
Figure 3.7: GABA$\text{A}$ receptor-mediated Cl$^-$ transients in AVP+ and VIP+ neurons.

Top row: AVP+ neurons from AVP-Cl Sensor mice tested during both subjective day and subjective night demonstrated an increase of $R_{Cl}$ following puff application of the GABA$\text{A}$ agonist isoguvacine (gray arrow), indicative of inhibitory Cl$^-$ influx. Similarly, VIP+ neurons from VIP-Cl Sensor mice during both day and night responded to isoguvacine with an increase of $R_{Cl}$ (bottom row). Each trace represents a $R_{Cl}$ measurement obtained from a single neuronal soma.
Figure 3.8: The KCCs contribute to [Cl\textsuperscript{−}]\textsubscript{i} regulation in SCN neurons.

(A) Example experiment from a VIP-Cl Sensor mouse after application of 10 µM the KCC antagonist VU. VU caused an increase in R\textsubscript{Cl} indicative of a rise in [Cl\textsuperscript{−}]\textsubscript{i}. Each trace represents a R\textsubscript{Cl} measurement obtained from a single neuronal soma. (B) Example experiment from a AVP-Cl Sensor mouse after application of VU. VU caused an increase in R\textsubscript{Cl} indicative of a rise in [Cl\textsuperscript{−}]\textsubscript{i}. (C) Summary data of the average change in R\textsubscript{Cl} after VU by neuron type and time of day. VU resulted in an increase in R\textsubscript{Cl} in all conditions (p<0.005 with GEE), but had a significantly greater effect in VIP+ neurons compared to AVP+ neurons (p<0.05 with GEE). The number of slices and regions of interest is listed for each condition.
Figure 3.9: NKCC1 plays a minor role in setting $[\text{Cl}^-]$.
(A) Example recording from a night-entrained AVP-Cl Sensor mouse showing the effect of blocking NKCC1 with 10 µM of bumetanide. Bumetanide caused a small increase in $R_{\text{Cl}}$. Each trace represents a $R_{\text{Cl}}$ measurement obtained from a single neuronal soma. (B) Example recording from a night-entrained VIP-Cl Sensor mouse after application of bumetanide. Bumetanide caused a small increase in $R_{\text{Cl}}$. (C) Summary data of the average change in $R_{\text{Cl}}$ after bumetanide by neuron type and time of day. Bumetanide elicited small but statistically significant changes in $R_{\text{Cl}}$ in each condition ($p<0.005$). AVP+ and VIP+ neurons responded differently to bumetanide ($p<0.001$ with GEE), but there were no day/night differences within neuron types. Bumetanide statistically different effects on VIP+ neurons compared to AVP+ neurons. The number of slices and regions of interest is listed for each condition.
Figure 3.10: Calibration of Cl\textsuperscript{−} Sensor

To calibrate Cl\textsuperscript{−} Sensor, neurons were permeabilized with β-escin, and exposed to solutions in which NaCl was replaced with equimolar concentrations of Na-gluconate. Gray points represent average $R_{\text{Cl}}$ values of individual trials obtained by averaging individual regions of interest; black dots represent average slice values ± standard error. Both AVP+ and VIP+ cells were used in calibration experiments. $R_{\text{Cl}}$ was corrected for exposure duration as discussed (see methods). Averaged data were fit with a logistic dose-response sigmoidal curve, modeled after the Hill equation. Curve fitting yielded the following values: $K_d = 81.6$ mM, $R_{\text{min}} = 0.82$, $R_{\text{max}} = 1.94$ and $p = 2.65$.
Supplemental Figure 3.11: GABA_\text{A} receptor-mediated Cl^- transients in AVP+ and VIP+ neurons in HEPES-buffered aCSF. Top row: AVP neurons from AVP-Cl Sensor mice tested during both subjective day and subjective night demonstrated a decrease of R_{Cl} following puff application of the GABA_\text{A} agonist isoguvacine (gray arrow), indicative of excitatory Cl^- efflux. Similarly, VIP+ neurons from VIP-Cl Sensor mice responded to isoguvacine with a decrease of R_{Cl} (bottom row). Each trace represents a R_{Cl} measurement obtained from a single neuronal soma.
Supplemental Figure 3.12: Contributions of the chloride transporters to $[\text{Cl}^-]$ in HEPES-buffered aCSF. (A) Change in $R_{\text{Cl}}$ after 10 µM of the KCC inhibitor VU. VU increased $R_{\text{Cl}}$ in all conditions. (B) Change in $R_{\text{Cl}}$ after 10 µM of the NKCC1 inhibitor bumetanide. Bumetanide caused a small reduction in $R_{\text{Cl}}$ all conditions. The number of slices and regions of interest is listed for each condition.
CHAPTER 4: DISCUSSION

4.1 Overview

Despite the prevalence of GABA and GABA receptors in the SCN, the physiological and functional roles of GABA within the SCN have remained unclear. The results described in this dissertation have shed new light on the functional role of SCN GABA transmission, concluding that GABA transmission contributes to the synchronization of SCN neurons necessary for coherent circadian output. Further, I have used two independent techniques to study the intracellular chloride concentration in SCN neurons, which is the ultimate determinant of GABA’s physiological action. These results have identified the molecular mechanisms of chloride transport in SCN neurons, and demonstrated differential chloride regulation in two genetically-defined populations of SCN neurons.

4.2 Local GABA transmission contributes to SCN synchrony

4.2.1 Deletion of Vgat and reduction of SCN GABA transmission

We successfully disrupted GABA transmission in SCN neurons. Generally, our results demonstrate the usefulness of our technique—local and acute disruption of GABAergic transmission is a valuable tool to dissect neural circuitry. Using an intersectional genetic strategy, we orchestrated local deletion of Vgat, the primary pathway for loading GABA into synaptic vesicles. In situ hybridization from Cre-injected Vgat^{lox/lox} mice indicated that Vgat mRNA was dramatically reduced. We did not
demonstrate that VGAT protein was removed in Cre-transduced neurons. However, we observed a reduction in local synaptic GABA transmission. SCN neurons from Cre-injected mice had 37% fewer mGPSCs, suggesting that approximately 37% of GABAergic inputs were eliminated from SCN neurons. The remaining 63% of mGPSCs may be from SCN neurons with residual VGAT protein despite Vgat deletion, SCN neurons that did not receive AAV, or GABAergic inputs from outside of the SCN. Indeed, efferents from the IGL of the thalamus are a major input into the SCN and are known to be GABAergic (Card and Moore, 1982, 1989; Moore and Card, 1994). Notably, a 37% reduction in synaptic GABA tone was sufficient to elicit circadian disruption and cellular desynchronization, speaking to the importance of GABA transmission for SCN function.

The reduction in mGPSC frequency was paired with an increase in mGPSC amplitude. This increase in amplitude could reflect a homeostatic up-regulation of postsynaptic GABA_A receptor expression or conductance. Alternatively, the increased amplitude might represent a difference between local and afferent GABAergic synapses present within the SCN. For example, GABAergic terminals from the intergeniculate leaflet may have a larger quantal size.

4.2.2 Behavioral disruption after VGAT depletion

We observed behavioral fragmentation of circadian rhythmicity in animals with disrupted SCN GABA transmission. Importantly, rhythmicity was maintained when mice were housed in light-dark cycles—arrhythmicity was only detected in constant darkness—indicating that this phenotype is due to circadian disruption. Arrhythmicity
was detected when measuring the animal’s body temperature, wheel-running activity, and general locomotor activity. Certainly, it is reassuring to observe the same phenotype with different behavioral measures. Further, this concordance suggests a common point of origin upstream of these physiological outputs, which we regard to be the SCN.

Arrhythmicity was not observed in all Cre-injected $Vgat^{lox/lox}$ mice. As discussed above, this could be due to incomplete loss of SCN GABA transmission, or to variation in the location or extent of viral transduction. However, even mice with similar genotypes display diversity in their circadian phenotype. Interestingly, knockout mice for the VIP peptide, the VIP receptor, and the AVP receptor display varying degrees of rhythmicity in constant darkness with some mice being much more susceptible to genetic perturbation than others (Colwell et al., 2003; Hughes et al., 2008; Li et al., 2009a). The explanation for such behavioral variability warrants further research, but suggests that coherent SCN rhythmicity may require a threshold of synchronized SCN neurons.

Incredibly, GABA disruption demonstrated a more profound phenotype than deletion of certain clock genes. Rhythmicity is compromised but remains intact in $Clock^{-/-}$, $Cry1^{-/-}$, $Cry2^{-/-}$, $Per1^{-/-}$, $Per3^{-/-}$, $Rev-erba^{-/-}$, $Rora^{-/-}$, and $Rorb^{-/-}$ mice. Double knockouts of these genes are often required to induce arrhythmicity (Lowrey and Takahashi, 2011). Therefore, the severity of circadian disruption observed after VGAT deletion speaks to the importance of GABA transmission for SCN function.
4.2.3 Interneuronal GABA transmission contributes to SCN synchrony

We attribute the observed behavioral fragmentation to desynchrony among rhythmic neuronal oscillators. Several studies have reported that genetic mutations elicit a severe disruption of rhythmicity in individual cells, but only a minor disruption of total SCN rhythmicity, concluding that cell coupling protects the SCN from perturbation (Liu et al., 2007; Abraham et al., 2010; Buhr et al., 2010; Ko et al., 2010). Candidate cell coupling signals include VIP, neurotensin, neuromedin S and GABA with compelling evidence supporting each (for review, see Welsh et al., 2010). Our evidence supports a role for GABA in cell coupling. We conclude that GABA facilitates synchrony of SCN neurons.

Luminometry from PER2::LUC SCN explants did not yield clear differences between Cre-injected and Cre-null Vgat\textsuperscript{lox/lox} mice. The rate of damping was comparable between groups. Our method of damping analysis normalized the third PER2 peak to the first. Although this approach facilitated comparison between SCN slices with varied signal intensity, it removed amplitude from the analysis procedure. Signal amplitude is known to be crucial in orchestrating cohesive circadian rhythmicity. Therefore, it is possible that the VGAT-depleted mice had a smaller amplitude rhythm than the Cre-null mice, but that this difference could not be detected by our analysis procedure.

To monitor the molecular clock in individual neurons, we turned to fluorescent reporters. We used a mPER2 reporter to monitor total rhythmicity from SCN explants, but a mPER1 reporter to monitor rhythmicity in individual neurons. Though homologs with a similar phase relationship, important distinctions have been made between
mPER1 and mPER2. mPER1 and mPER2 expression is colocalized in some SCN neurons, but separate in others. Outside of the SCN, PER1 is mostly found in neurons, while PER2 is restricted to glia (Cheng et al., 2009). mPER1 and mPER2 demonstrated differential induction and localization in SCN tissue after mice were subject to phase-advancing and phase-delaying light exposures suggesting that mPER1 and mPER2 have different influences on the molecular clock (Yan and Silver, 2002). Another study concluded that mPER2 has greater influence on transcription, while mPER1 regulates post-translational modifications (Bae et al., 2001). Microarray analysis revealed that mPER1 and mPER2 regulate the expression of separate sets of clock-controlled genes (Zheng et al., 2001). Therefore, separate mPER1- and mPER2-driven molecular clocks may dominate in a given cell and total SCN timing may involve interneuronal interactions between these two molecular clocks. Future studies will be necessary to determine if GABA transmission selectively disrupts mPer1 intracellular signaling.

4.2.4 Future directions

Our results demonstrate circadian desynchrony of individual neuronal oscillators within the SCN. To measure synchrony, we monitored *mPer1*-Venus fluorescence intensity, which gives a readout of the molecular clock. I would like to see if the observed desynchrony of the molecular clocks is manifest in the circadian rhythm of action potential discharge rate, a significant physiologic output of the SCN. Individual SCN neurons demonstrate a circadian rhythm in firing frequency. In a preliminary set of experiments, I measured action potential discharge rate from 72 day and 93 night neurons, and observed the well-established day-night difference in firing frequency.
Unfortunately, I did not have the opportunity to record AP discharge from Cre-injected $\text{Vgat}^{\text{lox/lox}}$ mice. In my preliminary survey, I used cell-attached recordings to sample frequency from single neurons in a slice. However, to detect desynchrony it is best to track individual neurons over multiple circadian cycles. Therefore, I would like to monitor AP discharge from $\text{Vgat}$-deleted SCN slices with multi-electrode arrays.

Our technique allowed local and acute disruption of GABA transmission—$\text{Vgat}$ was deleted in the adult SCN, avoiding any possible developmental effects. Although our viral-mediated recombination allowed local VGAT depletion, the injection procedure does introduce variability into the experimental design, and may not provide complete depletion of VGAT from SCN neurons (as discussed above). Recently, the gene for the dopamine receptor D1a ($\text{Drd1a}$) has emerged as a useful SCN targeting allele. In a recent optogenetics study, $\text{Drd1a}$-Cre mice were used to drive expression of channelrhodopsin (ChR2). ChR2 expression was observed in ~90% of AVP+ and VIP+ neurons, as well as in a high proportion of AVP-/VIP- SCN neurons (Jones et al., 2015). Therefore, although GABA transmission would be disrupted in $\text{Drd1a}$-expressing neurons throughout the brain, it would be interesting to look for a circadian phenotype in $\text{Drd1a}$-Cre, $\text{Vgat}^{\text{lox/lox}}$ mice.

Recently, I have crossed the AVP-Cre and VIP-Cre mice with $\text{Vgat}^{\text{lox/lox}}$ mice to remove GABA transmission from specific populations of SCN neurons. So far, I have observed no striking circadian phenotypes in either AVP-Cre, $\text{Vgat}^{\text{lox/lox}}$ mice or VIP-Cre $\text{Vgat}^{\text{lox/lox}}$ mice. These mice display normal entrainment to phase advances and phase delays, and normal rhythmicity under constant darkness. These preliminary results suggest that disrupting GABA transmission from AVP or VIP-expressing neurons is not
sufficient to elicit arrhythmicity, and that a more complete disruption of local GABA
transmission is necessary to provoke circadian arrhythmicity.

4.3 **Cl\(^-\) regulation in SCN neurons**

4.3.1 *General conclusions*

I used gramicidin perforated-patch electrophysiology and newly-developed Cl\(^-\) imaging techniques to study [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) regulation in SCN neurons. Perforated-patch measurements of \(E_{GABA}\) were depolarizing, but Cl\(^-\) imaging results demonstrated inhibitory Cl\(^-\) influx in AVP+ and VIP+ neurons of the SCN at all times of day. Both electrophysiological and fluorometric techniques agree that [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) is primarily determined by the KCC family of Cl\(^-\) transporters, and only minorly controlled by NKCC1.

4.3.2 *Whole-cell recordings*

My experiments demonstrate that whole-cell recording is not sufficient to study endogenous [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) regulation in SCN neurons. Taking into account the mixed permeability of GABA\(_A\) receptors and junction potential offsets, it became clear that \(E_{GABA}\) was primarily determined by the concentration of chloride in the patch pipette. Looking at developmental changes in \(E_{GABA}\) in SCN neurons, Chen et al. arrived at a similar conclusion, arguing for the necessity of perforated patch techniques (Chen et al. 1996; see also Wagner et al., 1997). As a workaround, Wagner et al. measured spontaneous activity in the first moments after rupture of the patch membrane, observing that both the amplitude and variance of the post-synaptic potentials...
depended on the membrane potential. Using the standard deviation of membrane potential, they inferred that $[\text{Cl}^-]$ was generally higher than 15 mM during the day, and generally lower than 15 mM during the night (Wagner et al., 1997). In a follow-up study, Wagner et al. continued with elaborate whole-cell recording techniques to measure $\text{Cl}^-$ regulation in SCN neurons, concluding that two $\text{Cl}^-$ transport mechanisms operate in SCN, and that the uptake mechanism is reduced during the animal's night (Wagner et al., 2001). Therefore, although whole-cell recording may be informative, it does not adequately reflect cell physiology.

Originally, I measured $E_{\text{GABA}}$ by pairing a voltage-step protocol with micro-application of 1 mM GABA (Figures 3.1 A & B). However, it soon became clear that the amplitude of GABA-evoked currents will not only depend on the driving force of the $\text{GABA}_A$ receptor, but also on $\text{GABA}_A$ receptor availability. For this reason, voltage ramp protocols were the preferred method of $E_{\text{GABA}}$ assessment, as they are not susceptible to errors related to desensitization.

### 4.3.3 Gramicidin perforated-patch recordings

#### 4.3.3.1 Methodological considerations

Gramicidin perforated-patch recordings afford the ability to study the native $[\text{Cl}^-]$. Indeed, it was reassuring to find that the measured $[\text{Cl}^-]$ differed from that of the pipette solution, and presumably reflected endogenous the $[\text{Cl}^-]$. Unfortunately, this advantage in accuracy comes at a considerable experimental cost. The gramicidin ionophore interferes with pipette seal formation with the cell membrane. Further, a significant amount of time is required for gramicidin molecules to diffuse to and insert into the
patch membrane. Naturally, this temporal limitation decreases the sampling potential of the technique.

Compared to whole-cell recordings, perforated-patch recordings yield higher series resistances, reducing the ability of the researcher to voltage clamp the neuron. High series resistance will reduce space clamp, and can introduce substantial offsets into measures of $E_{\text{GABA}}$. I restricted my recordings to cells that had series resistances lower than 100 MΩ. Occasionally, I observed sudden increases in series resistance after successful perforation. I attribute these increases to be related to pipette drift, which I consider to be more of a problem with perforated patch recording than with whole-cell recording.

From a methodological perspective, accidental rupture of the patch membrane may be the most challenging problem with gramicidin perforated-patch recording. After waiting up to 45 minutes for perforation, I was confounded to observe spontaneous rupture of the patch membrane. I often found it difficult to get through my complete experimental protocol before going whole-cell: control measures of $E_{\text{GABA}}$, chloride challenge and recovery, an allowance for drug perfusion and agency, and subsequent measures in test conditions. Anecdotally, I suspect that large voltage steps encourage disruption of the perforated patch membrane. To shorten my experimental protocol and thereby decrease the chance of patch rupture, I pre-incubated slices in bumetanide in one data set (results not shown). Although this experimental design shortened the experimental protocol, it came at a sacrifice to statistical resolution: paired statistical measures could no longer be used.
Tracking series resistance is one way to monitor the perforated membrane—$R_s$ should noticeably decrease if the patch goes whole-cell. Another trick is to use a pipette solution with high concentration of chloride—Cl$^-$ mediated currents should shift after accidental rupture, and reverse near 0 mV. Adding a fluorescent dye to the pipette can also be useful—the dye should be excluded from the cell if the perforation is intact. When possible, rupturing the patch at the end of experiment offers additional confidence in the prior integrity of the patch.

The aforementioned issues make each perforated patch recording highly precious. This problem is compounded when the investment includes targeted neurons. The AVP-eGFP rat line offered front-end selection of identified neurons as opposed to post-hoc histological analysis of experimental tissue (to look for co-labeling between neurobiotin and the neuropeptide antigen). However, this advantage comes with considerable trade-offs. The electrophysiologist contends with continuous bleaching of the fluorophore when attempting to identify fluorescent neurons. Further, the experimenter will need to switch between fluorescent and differential interference contrast optics in order to gain a successful seal with the cell membrane. My experimental design involved targeting green-fluorescent neurons with a red-fluorescent pipette solution. This technique required switching between filter cubes in the epi-illuminator turret which risked jostling the pipette and losing the perforated patch.

The technical limitations of gramicidin perforated patch recording made it difficult for me to resolve potential differences in [Cl$^-$] regulation across my independent variables: dorsomedial (or AVP+) neurons in the day and night, ventrolateral neurons in the day and night, and drug effects for both bumetanide and VU across these
conditions. It became a daunting task. During this time, a group in Mexico published an extensive perforated-patch study addressing some of my very own specific aims (Alamilla et al., 2014). Meanwhile, we were in the process of getting Cl$^-$ Sensor fluorometric techniques up and running. Cl$^-$ Imaging presented a new and exciting approach to an old problem. Eventually, I abandoned gramicidin perforated patch techniques and switched my efforts to Cl$^-$ imaging.

4.3.3.2 Measurements of $E_{GABA}$

Using gramicidin perforated patch recording, I measured $E_{GABA}$ to be $-37.9$ mV in dorsomedial neurons during the night, $-39.0$ mV in dorsomedial neurons during the day, and $-52.7$ mV during ventrolateral neurons during the day. The difference between these populations of neurons is in agreement with a recent study by Alamilla et al. They concluded that during the day, most dorsal SCN neurons have an $E_{GABA}$ close to $-30$ mV, but most ventral neurons have an $E_{GABA}$ close to $-60$ mV (Alamilla et al., 2014). In a separate set of recordings from AVP+ neurons, $E_{GABA}$ was $-30.4$ mV during the day and $-32.3$ mV during the night. (Although AVP+ neurons are generally localized to the dorsomedial SCN, it is difficult to relate values from AVP+ neurons to those from dorsomedial neurons, as AVP+ neurons were recorded with a HEPES-buffered solution while the regionally-selected neurons were recorded with a bicarbonate-buffered external solution.) Based on measured values of $E_{GABA}$, it is possible to estimate values of $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$. Assuming a 20% permeability for the GABA$_A$ receptor and an $E_{\text{HCO}_3}$ of $-20$ mV, $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ was calculated to be between 8 and 27 mM. At a $V_m$ of $-55$ mV, Cl$^-$ would be passively distributed at an $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ of 15 mM. Therefore, the measured values of $E_{GABA}$
indicate the presence of both active Cl\(^{-}\) uptake and active Cl\(^{-}\) extrusion in SCN neurons.

In general, I observed rather depolarized values of \(E_{GABA}\). Although I did not measure membrane potential directly, \(V_m\) is generally reported to be around \(-55\) mV in SCN neurons (Colwell, 2011). Therefore, \(E_{GABA}\) was close to \(V_m\), but depolarizing. All extracellular solutions used in this study were adjusted to have [Cl\(^{-}\)]\(_o\) near physiologically-relevant concentrations (~123 mM; Schrock and Kuschinsky, 1989). Compared to commonly-used aCSF ([Cl\(^{-}\)]\(_o\) usually closer to 150 mM), this chloride concentration will favor depolarized values of \(E_{GABA}\). An electrophysiologist's cell selection preferences can also bias measures of \(E_{GABA}\). Because intracellular chloride is linked to cell volume regulation, visual preferences for neuronal shape and membrane turgor under DIC optics can introduce bias into measures of \(E_{GABA}\) (Delpire and Staley, 2014). Recordings from swollen neurons will favor depolarized values of \(E_{GABA}\). Further, recordings are generally restricted to the top 50-100 µm of the brain slice surface. In 2012, Dzala et al. demonstrated that brain slice preparation elicits neuronal trauma that can severely affect [Cl\(^{-}\)]\(_i\) (Dzhala et al., 2012). They observed elevated [Cl\(^{-}\)]\(_i\) in the top 100 µm of a hippocampal slice that was dependent on neuron depth. Further, this chloride accumulation was found to correlate with neuronal damage and apoptosis. Therefore, it is possible that experimental artifacts may have contributed to the observed depolarizing values of \(E_{GABA}\). I restricted my recordings to cells with holding currents greater than \(-30\) pA. Therefore, this criteria should have helped to remove dead or “leaky” neurons from the data set.
Notably, I obtained measures of $E_{GABA}$ remarkably close to those reported for $V_m$. Ultimately, whether GABA is excitatory or inhibitory depends not only on the driving force of GABA currents ($E_{GABA}$ relative to $V_m$), but also on the ability of $GABA_A$ receptor activation to shunt the membrane. A depolarizing post-synaptic potential can still be inhibitory, especially when $E_{GABA}$ is close to $V_m$. Therefore, the observed proximity between $E_{GABA}$ and $V_m$ in SCN neurons may explain the nuanced history of GABA’s effect on SCN neurons.

I observed substantial variability in the GABAergic reversal potential. Resting $E_{GABA}$ ranged from $-80$ to $-15$ mV, but was generally between $-50$ to $-30$ mV. This degree of variability is in agreement with prior reports of $E_{GABA}$ in the SCN, including previous measurements from our own lab. In culture, Shimura et al. reported a range from $-64$ to $-36$ mV (Shimura et al. 2002). De Jeu et al. observed a range of $-84$ to $-49$ mV during the day and $-82$ to $-38$ mV during the night, concluding that, on average, $E_{GABA}$ is depolarized during the night (de Jeu et al. 2002). Similarly, Choi et al. reported that GABAergic post-synaptic potentials reversed in the range of $-72$ to $-32$ mV, but that GABA-mediated EPSPs were more common in the dorsal neurons during the night. Finally, Alamilla reported a wide range of $E_{GABA}$ in SCN neurons, varying from $-87$ to $-9$ mV (Alamilla et al., 2014). The observed variability in $[Cl^-]$, observed in SCN neurons parallels that found in other regions of the brain (Ebihara 1995; Kuner and Augustine, 2000; Dzhala et al. 2005; Berglund et al 2006; Glykys et al 2009). The degree of variability may be attributed to various artifacts related to the gramicidin perforated patch technique (as discussed previously), or it may be physiologically-relevant. One possibility is that SCN neurons use $E_{GABA}$ to encode phase information. Therefore
variability in $E_{GABA}$ may represent variability in phase. Indeed, SCN neurons are known to exhibit a variety of phases even within the intact SCN slice (Rohling et al., 2006; Farajnia et al., 2014). Further, membrane potential is known to be a major point of regulation for controlling the phase of SCN neurons, whereby excitation elicits $Per$ induction and phase-advance (Shibata et al., 1994; Lundkvist et al., 2005; Colwell, 2011). Therefore, it could be that GABAergic excitation elicits phase-advances in SCN neurons, while GABAergic inhibition induces phase-delays, or alternatively, blocks phase shifts (Alamilla et al., 2014). Accordingly, the observed variability of $E_{GABA}$ might represent the unique ability of SCN neurons to adjust their phase bidirectionally. Ultimately, the high degree of variability I observed in $E_{GABA}$ made it difficult to distinguish potential regional and circadian differences in $[Cl^-]_i$ regulation and contributed to my decision to ultimately abandon perforated-patch recordings.

4.3.3.3 $[Cl^-]_i$ manipulation and recovery

Using voltage-clamp protocols, I was able to manipulate $[Cl^-]_i$, and monitor the subsequent recovery to resting levels. To manipulate $[Cl^-]_i$, GABA was applied to activate $GABA_A$ receptors, and $V_m$ was clamped to either drive $Cl^-$ into or out of the cell. It is well established that $E_{GABA}$ can undergo both short-term and long-term changes. Short-term changes in $E_{GABA}$ are considered to be due to collapse of the $Cl^-$ gradient. Several studies have shown that intense activation of $GABA_A$ receptors can result in transient shifts in $E_{GABA}$ (Alger and Nicoll, 1979; Andersen et al., 1980; Thompson and Gahwiler, 1989). Interestingly, in hippocampal interneurons, physiologically-relevant firing patterns were able to switch GABAergic input from inhibitory to excitatory,
suggesting that ionic plasticity may be a strategy interneurons use to promote sustained network activity (Lamsa and Taira, 2003). A similar finding was observed in hippocampal pyramidal neurons (Fiumelli et al., 2005).

After Cl\(^-\) depletion, I measured a rate of recovery with an average time constant of 28.2 seconds, meaning that [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) would take about 45 seconds to return to baseline levels. After Cl\(^-\) loading, I measured a rate of recovery with an average time constant of 55.2 seconds, meaning that [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) would take about 88 seconds to return to baseline levels. These measures are within the range of values that have been reported in other neurons (Staley and Proctor, 1999; Lamsa and Taira, 2003; Achilles et al., 2007; Raimondo et al., 2012). On average, recovery after Cl\(^-\) depletion took less time than recovery after Cl\(^-\) loading. This difference suggests that Cl\(^-\) loading mechanisms may be more influential than Cl\(^-\) extruding mechanisms in SCN neurons, which may explain why I observed [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) to be higher than expected by a passive distribution. However, whether or not the rate of Cl\(^-\) transport is relevant to resting [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) and will require further analysis.

The rates of recovery exhibited substantial variability between cells and sometimes even between trials. This variability can be partly attributed to variability in the net Cl\(^-\) flux elicited during the Cl\(^-\) challenge protocol. I found it difficult to control for this variable; net charge flux ranged from 1 to 4 nC between experiments. Measuring the instantaneous rate of Cl\(^-\) transport at the point of passive Cl\(^-\) distribution may have provided a more stable measure of Cl\(^-\) transport, as this value is not expected to be sensitive to differences in the amount of charge transfer (Achilles et al., 2007). Alternatively, the observed variation in recovery may represent physiological differences
between cells. Indeed, the cell surface expression and kinetic regulation of CCCs are known to be important determinants of $[\text{Cl}^-]$ (Kahle et al., 2013). Further experimentation will be necessary to address kinetic regulation of $\text{Cl}^-$ transport in SCN neurons.

**4.3.3.4 Effects of the NKCC1 antagonist bumetanide**

At low concentrations, bumetanide specifically inhibits $\text{Cl}^-$ uptake mediated by NKCC1 (Russell, 2000). I found little effect of bumetanide in dorsomedial SCN neurons during the day or night. Bumetanide did not significantly alter either resting $E_{\text{GABA}}$ or the rate of $\text{Cl}^-$ uptake after $\text{Cl}^-$ loading. This result suggests that other $\text{Cl}^-$ uptake mechanisms set $[\text{Cl}^-]$ in SCN neurons. In other parts of the brain, bumetanide did not have an effect despite $[\text{Cl}^-]$ concentrations greater than those expected by a passive $\text{Cl}^-$ distribution (Balakrishnan et al., 2003; Price and Trussell, 2006; Zhang et al., 2007). Alternatively, the activity of NKCC1 may have been masked by other $\text{Cl}^-$ uptake mechanisms, such as $\text{Cl}/\text{HCO}_3^-$ transport mediated by the anion exchangers (AE3, etc). Interestingly, I did observe a small effect of bumetanide in AVP+ neurons of the AVP-eGFP rat line. This effect could be due to differences in $[\text{Cl}^-]$ regulation between neuron types. Indeed, NKCC1 expression was found to be most dense in the dorsomedial SCN (Belenky et al., 2010). AVP+ neurons were recorded in HEPES-buffered ACSF. Therefore, removing $\text{HCO}_3^-$ and thereby reducing $\text{Cl}/\text{HCO}_3^-$ transport may have been sufficient to reveal an activity of NKCC1 in $[\text{Cl}^-]$ regulation. Accordingly, this mechanism may be able to explain why in $\text{Cl}^-$ imaging experiments bumetanide resulted in a decrease in $R_{\text{Cl}}$ in AVP+ neurons in HEPES-buffered
solutions, but a small increase in bicarbonate-buffered solutions. Alternatively, the efficacy of bumetanide on $E_{\text{GABA}}$ observed in AVP+ neurons may be explained by the higher concentration of bumetanide used in these experiments (40 µM) compared to earlier experiments (10 µM). However, this explanation is probably not sufficient to explain the difference between the data sets, as the IC$_{50}$ for bumetanide has been reported to be 0.1 µM in other preparations (Payne et al., 2003).

4.3.3.5 Effects of the KCC antagonist VU0240551

I found that blocking the KCCs with 20 µM VU decreased $E_{\text{GABA}}$ and slowed the rate of recovery following Cl$^-$ depletion. These results indicate that KCC2 is critically involved in setting resting [Cl$^-$]$_i$ in SCN neurons. Interestingly, although VU dramatically slowed the rate of recovery, it did not remove Cl$^-$ extrusion completely, suggesting that other Cl$^-$ extrusion mechanisms are present in SCN neurons. This Cl$^-$ extrusion could be mediated by the sodium-dependent anion exchanger (NDAE) or ClC-2 (Rinke et al., 2010; Ratte and Prescott, 2011), although there is only minor evidence for the expression of these proteins in SCN neurons (see Allen Brain Atlas).

4.3.3.6 Future directions

Gramicidin perforated patch allows estimation of $E_{\text{GABA}}$ without disrupting intracellular chloride. Tyzio et al. developed an alternative electrophysiological method for the determination of $E_{\text{GABA}}$ (Tyzio et al., 2006; Tyzio et al., 2008). Using dual cell-attached recording of single-channel GABA$_A$ and NMDA receptor-mediated currents, Tyzio et al. were able to measure $E_{\text{GABA}}$ noninvasively. One pipette allows calculation
of the driving force for GABA$_A$, while the second pipette is used to estimate $V_m$. This method offers a novel approach for the calculation of $E_{GABA}$ in SCN neurons.

4.4  Cl$^-$ imaging

4.4.1  Methodological considerations: advantages

Cl$^-$ imaging offers the unique ability to monitor $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ without disturbing the cell’s membrane potential. Cl$^-$ imaging allows direct calculation of $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$, while perforated-patch recording usually estimates $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ from $E_{GABA}$ or $E_{\text{glycine}}$. However, it is no small consideration that determining $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ using Cl$^-$ imaging relies on a calibration curve. Certainly, the calibration curve can be a major source of error in calculations of $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$.

After persevering through perforated-patch recording, the most striking advantage of Cl$^-$ imaging was the ability to monitor multiple cells simultaneously. Cl$^-$ imaging dramatically increased my experimental yield. Because the SCN is small, it is usually only possible to obtain one or two slices per mouse. Therefore, increased sampling was particularly beneficial when testing the effects of hydrophobic drugs, which would not be expected to washout from a brain slice.

When I arrived in the lab, Cl$^-$ imaging experiments were planned for cultured SCN neurons. Cultures were to be transfected with Cl$^-$ Sensor and imaged at different circadian phases with respect to PER2:LUC bioluminescence. Although feasible, this experimental design invites uncertainty about the phase and amplitude of the rhythmicity in the culture. Expressing Cl$^-$ Sensor in live animals eliminated this uncertainty—the mice could be taken directly out of their LD cycle. Initial forays into Cl$^-$ imaging involved stereotaxic injection of viral vectors into the SCN. Although an
improvement, this approach required a delay to allow the mouse to recover from surgery and to generate adequate Cl$^-$ Sensor expression. Still, fluorescent signal was frequently absent from SCN slices. The advent of the ROSA26::Cl$^-$ Sensor mouse guaranteed Cl$^-$ Sensor expression. Further, genetic techniques present the opportunity to study Cl$^-$ regulation in genetically-defined populations of neurons. As we predicted higher [Cl$^-$] in AVP+ neurons, the use of the AVP-Cre, ROSA26 Cl$^-$ Sensor mouse was the ideal model for our hypotheses.

Finally, Cl$^-$ Sensor expression allowed me to monitor [Cl$^-$] in cultured SCN neurons over several days. This feat would not be possible without genetically-encoded fluorescent reporters, and would not be possible with gramicidin perforated-patch recording.

4.4.2 Methodological considerations: disadvantages

Compared to perforated-patch recording, perhaps the greatest limitation of Cl$^-$ imaging is its reduced temporal resolution. Perforated-patch recording is able to detect events with millisecond resolution—a timescale much more relevant to neurophysiology. For Cl$^-$ imaging experiments, I sampled every two or five seconds. Due to the temporal requirements for excitation, emission, and mechanical delays, a one second increment approached the lower-limit of sampling. Therefore, it is possible that fast Cl$^-$ fluxes were missed by my Cl$^-$ imaging techniques.

I found Cl$^-$ Sensor to also be limited in sensitivity. I measured a $K_d$ of 82 mM, significantly higher than the range of [Cl$^-$] that I observed in SCN neurons. Therefore, most of my values of $R_{Cl}$ fell on the lower shoulder of the calibration curve, where small
changes in $R_{Cl}$ give large changes in $[Cl^-]_i$, which may misrepresent the data. For this reason, I opted to present the results from these experiments in terms of $R_{Cl}$.

Whether GABA$_A$ receptor activation is hyperpolarizing or depolarizing depends on the driving force for chloride, which depends on the relationship between $E_{GABA}$ and $V_m$. Just as membrane potential is unperturbed in Cl$^-$ imaging, it is also not measured. Instead, I used the direction of $[Cl^-]_i$ change in response to GABA$_A$ agonists to infer whether GABA transmission was hyperpolarizing or depolarizing in SCN neurons. Therefore, the polarity of these GABA$_A$ receptor-mediated Cl$^-$ transients can be used to infer the driving force for chloride. Although this information is useful to relate $E_{GABA}$ to $V_m$, it of course does not provide an absolute measure of $V_m$.

Cl$^-$ Sensor is composed of a cyan fluorescent protein fused to a chloride-sensitive yellow fluorescent protein, YFP$_{Cl}$. Due to the intrinsic sensitivity of YFP$_{Cl}$ to H$^+$, Cl$^-$ Sensor is sensitive to pH in addition to $[Cl^-]$. At a Cl$^-$ concentration of 10 mM, Cl$^-$ Sensor has a pK$_a$ of 7.3, signifying a high degree of sensitivity within a physiologically relevant pH range (Markova et al., 2008). The pH sensitivity of Cl$^-$ Sensor was problematic throughout my experiments. Certainly, using a reporter with dual sensitivity can make interpretation difficult. I occasionally observed changes in $R_{Cl}$ after brief bath applications of control ACSF. I attribute this artifact to be caused by degassing of stagnant solution in the perfusion system. In bicarbonate-buffered solutions, pH is maintained by an equilibrium between HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_2$. In the perfusion system, CO$_2$ is absent, resulting in an increase of pH. To avoid alkalization of ungassed solution, I switched to a HEPES-buffered solution in a series of experiments. Although HEPES solution helped to reduce pH artifacts, it is less physiologically
relevant, as bicarbonate is the endogenous buffer in acid-base homeostasis, and is
cotransported with Cl\(^-\) by a family of bicarbonate/chloride exchangers (Chesler, 2003;
Alka and Casey, 2014). Furthermore, the permeability of the GABA\(_A\) receptor to HCO\(_3^-\)
complicates the interpretation of results in HEPES-buffered solutions.

My experiments demonstrate remarkably different rates of inactivation for Cl\(^-\)
Sensor's YFP and CFP moieties. This property gives R\(_{Cl}\) a high degree of instability,
and leaves Cl\(^-\) Sensor imperfectly ratiometric. I corrected for the instability of R\(_{Cl}\) by
fitting the data with exponential functions. Usually, exponential functions fit the data
very accurately. Corrected data was stable with respect to time. In order to correct for
imperfections in R\(_{Cl}\), I attempted whenever possible to measure R\(_{Cl}\) at steady-state
values.

4.4.3 *Estimation of [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) in SCN neurons*

Using Cl\(^-\) imaging, I obtained estimates of [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) to be approximately 20 mM in
SCN neurons. I observed no differences between AVP+ and VIP+ neurons, or between
day and night neurons, despite the observed rhythmicity in R\(_{Cl}\) in AVP+ neurons. This
discrepancy may be attributed to the small amplitude of the rhythm, or the high degree
of variability in R\(_{Cl}\) across acute slice experiments. I observed baseline R\(_{Cl}\) values
between 0.8 and 1.15, which correspond to values for [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) between 0 and 60 mM. A
significant limitation of Cl\(^-\) imaging is the dependence on a calibration curve for
ratiometric estimates of [Cl\(^-\)]. Most of my measured values for R\(_{Cl}\) fall on the lower
shoulder of the calibration curve, which give big changes in [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) for small changes in
R\(_{Cl}\). Using gramicidin perforated patch recording, I observed values for [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) between 8
and 27 mM, with a median value near 18 mM. Therefore, average estimates of $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ were fairly close despite large variability with both techniques. Furthermore, these estimates are similar to those previously reported in the SCN (Chen et al., 1996; Wagner et al., 1997; De Jeu and Pennartz, 2002; Shimura et al., 2002; Choi et al., 2008; Alamilla et al., 2014; Farajnia et al., 2014).

4.4.4 $\text{Cl}^-$ influx upon $\text{GABA}_A$ receptor activation

Upon puff application of the $\text{GABA}_A$ agonist isoguvacine, I observed an increase in $R_{\text{Cl}}$, indicating an increase in $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ upon $\text{GABA}_A$ receptor activation. These $\text{Cl}^-$ transients are known to be downstream of $\text{GABA}_A$ receptor activation, as they required isoguvacine, and were absent in picrotoxin. This $\text{Cl}^-$ influx would be expected to hyperpolarize $V_m$. This finding is at odds with the $\text{GABA}$ergic reversal potentials measured using gramicidin perforated-patch recording, which would predict $\text{Cl}^-$ efflux through $\text{GABA}_A$ receptors. It is possible that these $\text{Cl}^-$ transients represent neuronal acidification. Acidification has been shown to occur after neuronal depolarization, or would be expected following $\text{HCO}_3^-$ efflux through the $\text{GABA}_A$ receptor (Chesler, 2003). However, I observed a much larger effect of VU relative to bumetanide, suggesting that the KCCs keep $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ low in SCN neurons, in agreement with an inhibitory action of $\text{GABA}$. Also, increasing $[\text{Cl}^-]_i$ with VU was able to reverse the direction of $\text{Cl}^-$ transients in several experiments (data not shown). Nevertheless, further experimentation will be necessary to eliminate the possibility that these transients represent intracellular acidification.
I observed Cl\(^-\) efflux in HEPES-buffered solution, but Cl\(^-\) influx in bicarbonate-buffered solutions. This result suggests that \(E_{\text{GABA}}\) was depolarizing in HEPES-buffered solutions, and that [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) may be differentially regulated in HEPES solutions. However, changes in \(R_{\text{Cl}}\) after blocking KCC2 and NKCC1 were similar to those observed in bicarbonate-buffered solutions. Generally, blocking NKCC1 had a minor effect on \(R_{\text{Cl}}\) while blocking KCC2 caused a major increase in \(R_{\text{Cl}}\). The sodium-dependent anion-exchanger (NDAE) offers one possible explanation for the observed Cl\(^-\) efflux in HEPES-buffered solutions. NDAE transports \(\text{Na}^+\) and HCO\(_3^−\) into neurons in exchange for H\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\). Therefore, removing extracellular HCO\(_3^−\) will result in Cl\(^-\) accumulation, which would be expected to depolarize the GABAergic reversal potential.

**4.4.5 Effects of the NKCC1 antagonist bumetanide**

In both perforated-patch and Cl\(^-\) imaging experiments, only minor effects of bumetanide were observed, suggesting that NKCC1 is not a major regulator of [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) in SCN neurons. It is possible that [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) is higher in other populations of SCN neurons. Alternatively, a somatodendritic Cl\(^-\) gradient could explain why previous groups have reported greater bumetanide effects (see Discussion, Chapter 3). Although NKCC1 may not be a major contributor to [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) in SCN neurons, my estimates of [Cl\(^-\)]\(_i\) across techniques suggest the presence of active Cl\(^-\) uptake mechanisms in SCN neurons. Further research will be necessary to identify these Cl\(^-\) transport pathways.
4.4.6  Effects of the KCC antagonist VU0240551

I observed significant increases in [Cl$^-$], after applying the KCC antagonist VU0240551. VU is a newly-developed drug; until recently, it has been difficult to reveal KCC activity. Our results are the first to demonstrate KCC regulation of [Cl$^-$], in SCN neurons. VU resulted in ~20 mM increases in [Cl$^-$]. These results were similar with those measured in HEPES-buffered solutions, and are in agreement with the increase in the GABAergic reversal potential observed with perforated patch recording. With Cl$^-$ imaging, I observed different changes in [Cl$^-$], between AVP+ and VIP+ neurons, with VIP+ neurons having a larger change in R$_{Cl}$ after VU. This finding is in agreement with Belenky et al. who demonstrated dense expression of KCC2 in the ventrolateral SCN, but weak expression in the dorsomedial SCN. KCC2 reaches thermodynamic equilibrium when [Cl$^-$], equals 3 mM. My results indicate that, although KCC2 contributes to [Cl$^-$], other pathways are involved in setting resting intracellular chloride.

A previous study demonstrated differential expression of the KCCs throughout the SCN. KCC2 expression was dense in the ventrolateral SCN but deficient in the dorsomedial SCN, while KCC3 and KCC4 were prominent in dorsomedial SCN (Belenky et al., 2008). However, preliminary in situ hybridization results from our lab showed KCC2 expression throughout the SCN. Further, although billed as a KCC2-selective drug, VU is likely to inhibit KCC1, KCC3 and KCC4 with similar efficacy (Delpire et al., 2009). Therefore the SCN-wide effectiveness of VU observed in my study can be explained by either the non-specificity of VU for the KCCs, or KCC2 expression throughout the SCN.
4.4.7 Future directions

4.4.7.1 Calibration of Cl\textsuperscript− Sensor

Ratiometric imaging relies on calibration curves in order to relate ratio values to the substrate of interest. In order to better interpret my Cl\textsuperscript− imaging results, I plan to produce a more dependable calibration curve for Cl\textsuperscript− Sensor under my imaging conditions. My preliminary calibration curve gave a $K_d$ of 81.6 mM. Previously reported $K_d$ values for Cl\textsuperscript− Sensor are significantly lower, between 40 and 60 mM. Because $K_d$ should represent an intrinsic biochemical property of the protein, my measured $K_d$ suggests that some parameter is obscuring Cl\textsuperscript− Sensor’s sensitivity. Other reports have used high K\textsuperscript+ HEPES-buffered solutions during calibration, but I was not able to obtain an interpretable range of values with these solutions (baseline was lower than that obtained in zero chloride). Therefore, the observed differences in $K_d$ may reflect a difference imposed by the non-chloride species within the different calibration solutions.

I plan to add more points to the calibration curve. Additional data may improve the accuracy of [Cl\textsuperscript−]\textsubscript{i} calibration. Alternatively, manipulating [Cl\textsuperscript−] with whole-cell pipette solution may give a more accurate calibration curve, allowing measurement of single-cell $R_{Cl}$, with minimal influence from a changing background signal.

4.4.7.2 Cl\textsuperscript− imaging in other subpopulations of SCN neurons

The direction of Cl\textsuperscript− flux after GABA\textsubscript{A} receptor activation indicates that GABA is inhibitory in both AVP+ and VIP+ SCN neurons. AVP+ and VIP+ neurons only constitute approximately 13% and 9% of SCN neurons, respectively (Welsh et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2015). Therefore, it is possible that depolarizing GABA transmission is
present in other populations of SCN neurons that were not addressed in this study. Therefore, I would like to cross the Rosa26::Cl Sensor mouse with other Cre driver lines to perform Cl$^-$ imaging in other populations of SCN neurons. Drd1a-Cre mice would be expected to yield Cl$^-$ Sensor expression in up to 90% of SCN neurons, in multiple subtypes of SCN neurons (Jones et al., 2015). Besides AVP and VIP, several other Cre lines for SCN-expressed neuropeptides are available including neuromedin S, GRP, somatostatin, neurotensin, enkephalin, and cholecystokinin (Ohtsuki et al., 1993; Leinninger et al., 2011; Taniguchi et al., 2011; Muller et al., 2012; Gerfen et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2015). These genetic tools open up exciting new avenues for dissection of the heterogeneous SCN.

4.4.7.3 Potential improvements for Cl$^-$ imaging methodology

As discussed, both the poor photostability and intrinsic pH sensitivity of Cl$^-$ Sensor’s YFP$_{Cl}$ moiety presented me with formidable experimental challenges. Recently, a YFP with improved photostability, increased Cl$^-$ sensitivity, and reduced pH interference has been described (Zhong et al., 2014). The YFP derivative has a $K_d$ of 14 mM and a $pK_a$ of 5.9, significantly shifted outside of the physiological range. Photostability is approximately 15-fold greater than Cl$^-$ Sensor. Further, this group and others have added membrane targeting domains to genetically-encoded reporters which have facilitated sensitivity and stability (Watts et al., 2012; Zhong et al., 2014). I hope to see these improvements added to ratiometric Cl$^-$ indicators. They would be of great assistance to physiological measures of $[Cl^-]$$_i$ and Cl$^-$ fluxes.
Instead of leaving pH an unknown variable, Arosio et al. designed a reporter named ClopHensor that is able to monitor both Cl$^{-}$ and pH simultaneously (Arosio et al., 2010). This group made use of E$_2$GFP which is sensitive to both chloride concentration and pH, but possesses a pH isobestic point at 458 nm. By exciting at three wavelengths (488 nm for the pH-dependent E$_2$GFP signal, 458 nm for the pH-independent E$_2$GFP signal, and 543 nm for the Cl$^{-}$ and pH-independent DsRed signal), Arosio et al. were able to obtain ratiometric measures of both [Cl$^{-}$]$_i$ and pH simultaneously. A follow-up study optimized ClopHensor for neurons, replacing DsRed with TdTomato, producing ClopHensorN (Raimondo et al., 2013). The use of ClopHensorN would allow simultaneous measurement of both [Cl$^{-}$]$_i$ and pH in SCN neurons, and therefore remove the ambiguity when interpreting Cl$^{-}$ Sensor fluorescent signal.

4.4.7.4 Two-photon imaging

Isoguvacine application increased R$_{CI}$ indicating Cl$^{-}$ influx. Regions of interest were defined around neuronal soma; neurites were not able to be resolved. Therefore, it is possible that somatodendritic or somato-axonal Cl$^{-}$ gradients exist within SCN neurons that were missed with my techniques. Indeed, subcellular gradients have been described in several other neuron types (Wright et al., 2011). Compared to epifluorescence microscopy, both confocal and two-photon excitation microscopy provide improved spatial resolution. I would like to use two-photon excitation microscopy to measure [Cl$^{-}$]$_i$ in dendritic processes of SCN neurons to look for
subcellular Cl\(^-\) gradients. Alternatively, preparing dispersed cultures of SCN neurons may facilitate imaging of dendritic profiles.

4.5 General Conclusions

I have used new genetic techniques to address the physiological and functional roles of GABA in the SCN. I have described a role for GABA in coordinating the synchrony of SCN neurons, and thereby regulating the output of the mammalian circadian clock. Further, newly-developed Cl\(^-\) imaging techniques have allowed me to address the physiological mechanisms regulating GABA’s action in SCN neurons. Membrane potential and calcium influx have been linked to the phase and period of circadian rhythms within SCN neurons. Therefore, these two studies of SCN GABA may be related—GABA’s effects on membrane potential may coordinate the synchrony of SCN neurons.
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