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Abstract

We show how to use MetaMP's object-oriented features to write distribution independent programs. This facilitates the construction of a distributed-memory, MIMD, software library such as Linpack or the BLAS.

Introduction

It is generally agreed that distributed-memory parallel computers have the potential for very high performance. In specific applications this performance has been realized. In many cases, however, the parallel programs are far from matching the functionality of their sequential counterparts. Though there doesn't seem to be fundamental obstacles to using distributed memory, MIMD machines for large-scale scientific and engineering computations, the machines and their associated software environments have proven to be idiosyncratic and problematical.

To achieve widespread usage, a natural approach to consider is to build large software libraries of highly functional, optimized components. In some domains at least, this approach seems to be workable.

In another paper [1], we introduced MetaMP, a set of compile time directives and a run time system which supports multi-dimensional arrays distributed on a MIMD machine. MetaMP compiles down to C and Express,
and so is portable across many parallel machines. Here, we wish to con-
centrate on some of MetaMP’s object-oriented features and how these may
be applied toward writing distribution independent programs. By this we
mean programs which, at their high level description, remain the same (and
remain correct) as different choices are made for the distribution strategy
of the arrays involved. Distribution independent programs and subroutines
are much more suitable for the construction of a software library.

In this paper we will show how to write MetaMP functions and their
calling programs so that they are distribution independent. As an example,
we illustrate the method with the saxpy() routine, one of the members of
the BLAS library [2]. We show how this routine can function correctly in a
variety of distribution environments and how this can be embedded within
a distribution independent Gaussian elimination program.

The Saxpy

The saxpy() is a member of the BLAS library used to add to a vector a
constant times another vector. That is, let y and x be vectors and α some
scalar. Then saxpy(α, y, x) computes the assignment:

\[ y_i \leftarrow αx_i + y_i, \forall i. \]

Figure 1 shows a simple sequential program which reads in two vectors,
prompts the user for α, applies saxpy(), and prints out the result. Some of
the options of the real saxpy() have been left out for clarity (e.g., non-unit
strides), but the essential operations remain.

Figure 2 gives the MetaMP equivalent of figure 1. A brief description of
each of the MetaMP directives appearing in the program follows.

- The vectors are distributed across all of the nodes of the machine (this
  program is written with the number of processors, 4, wired into the
code). The vectors themselves have length \( M \), and the declaration and
distribution of the vectors is accomplished with:

  \[
  \text{float } X[M:4]; \ % \text{ distribute } \\
  \text{float } Y[M:4]; \ % \text{ distribute }
  \]

- The vectors are allocated at the MetaMP statement:
```c
#include <stdio.h>
define MAX 64
int N;
float X[MAX], Y[MAX];

main()
{
    int i, tmp;
    float alpha;
    FILE *fp;
    printf("s-ax-py demo program. Enter alpha\n");
    scanf("%f", &alpha);
    fp = fopen("testVecs2", "r");
    fscanf(fp, "%d", &N);
    for (i = 0; i < N; ++i)
        fscanf(fp, "%f", &X[i]);
    fscanf(fp, "%d", &tmp);
    if (tmp != N) perror("vector size mismatch");
    for (i = 0; i < N; ++i)
        fscanf(fp, "%f", &Y[i]);
    fclose(fp);

    saxpy(alpha, Y, X, N);

    printf("Result vector is:\n");
    for (i = 0; i < N; ++i)
        printf("%8.3f " , Y[i]);
    printf("\n");
    exit(0);
}

saxpy( alpha, y, x, size )
float alpha,*y,*x;
int size;
{ int i;
    for (i = 0; i < size; ++i) {
        y[i] += alpha * x[i];
    }
}
```

Figure 1: saxpyS.c: sequential saxpy().
#include <stdio.h>

int H;
float X[H];
float Y[H];

main()
{
    int tmp;
    float alpha;
    FILE *fp;

    printf("s-ex-py demo program. Enter alpha\n");
    scanf("%f", &alpha);
    fp = fopen("testVecs2", "r");
    fscanf(fp, "%d", &H);
    % Alloc %
    fscanf(fp, "%f", X);
    fscanf(fp, "%f", &tmp);
    if (tmp != H) perror("vector size mismatch");
    fscanf(fp, "%f", Y);
    fclose(fp);

    saxpy(alpha, Y, X);

    printf("Result vector is:\n");
    printf("%8.3f ", Y);
    exit(0);
}

saxpy( alph, y, x)
float alph;
float *y, *x;
%( % setDcmp of y, x %
int i;
for (i=0; i<gsize of y[*]; ++i) %(% splitFor on y[*] %
y[i] += alph * x[i];
%)}
%

Figure 2: saxpy.mmp: parallel saxpy(), first version.
MetaMP creates, along with a distributed array, an associated data structure (the Dcmp structure) which gives the run time attributes of the array. Each processor has a copy of this structure and it is computed at allocation time. The attributes are such things as: the starting and ending points of this processor's portion of the array, for each dimension of the array; the global sizes of the array; whether or not the array has associated guard strips; and so on. Some of the attributes vary from processor to processor.

- The data for the vectors are read in by the MetaMP library routine *fscanf*(). We do not wish to discuss I/O here; it is covered in detail in the user's guide [3].

- The actual call to *saxpy()* is made much like that in the sequential case. The one difference is that the size of the vectors is not supplied as an argument. Since the vectors are MetaMP objects, their sizes are available from the Dcmp structure. But which Dcmp structure? After all, in the specification of *saxpy()* , y and x are merely dummy arguments. The MetaMP directive, `% setDcmp of y,x %`, answers this question. When *saxpy()* is called, setDcmp associates the correct Dcmp structure with y and x. This means that, within *saxpy()* , one can query the Dcmp structure for attributes of the argument arrays.

- The statement, `% gsize of y[*] %`, is precisely such a query. This one says to return the global size of the array y in it's 1st dimension. That is, writing this is equivalent to writing *M*. By the way, calling this a “query” may cause one to suspect that this is a slow operation, but this isn't true. MetaMP inline expands such queries to a simple access of memory.

- At this point, the *for* within *saxpy()* is a loop over the entire vector, y. The final directive, splitFor on y[*], turns this into a parallel loop. It causes each processor to loop over only those members of y which are stored in this processor. Again, this is done efficiently – the upper limit of the loop is modified to this processor's `% size of y[*] %` attribute.

This complete our discussion of *saxpy.mmp*. As specified, the *saxpy()* routine can be used on any vector object. In many linear algebra contexts,
however, we wish to run the routine on rows or columns of a distributed matrix.

Using the Saxpy in Other Contexts

In a real linear algebra application, for instance, Gaussian elimination, we need to run the saxpy() on two vectors, where the vectors are rows of a matrix. The sequential program in figure 3 shows the sort of operation we need to perform. X and Y are set to point at the beginning of the rows of A, they are fed into saxpy(), and it works.

Now let's look at how we would do this in MetaMP. We begin by distributing the matrix, A, in the row direction. We will treat the other cases (column-wise and two-dimensional distribution) later. Figure 4 gives the correct MetaMP analog of saxpyS2.c. Let us discuss the new MetaMP directives in order:

- The declarations,

```plaintext
float X[N];       % replicate %
float Y[N];       % replicate %
float A[M:4][N]; % distribute %
```

Distribute A row-wise across the machine, and give each processor a copy of X and Y.

- The directives,

```plaintext
X = A[5];      % set to subarray A[5][*], copy to all %
Y = A[2];      % set to subarray A[2][*] %
```

cause the following to happen. In the processor which contains A[5][*] (row 5 of A), the pointer X is set to pointer at it, and the set? attribute of X is assigned TRUE. In other processors, the set? attribute of X is assigned FALSE. In the processor which contains A[2][*] (row 2 of A), the pointer Y is set to point at it, and the set? attribute of Y is assigned TRUE. In other processors, the set? attribute of Y is assigned FALSE.

- The second directive on X in the above, copy to all, causes the set X to be copied to all other replicas of X, that is, a broadcast occurs to
```c
#include <stdio.h>
#define MAX 64
int M;N;
float *x,*y;
float A[MAX][MAX];
main()
{ int i,j,tmp;
  float alpha;
  FILE *fp;
  printf("a-ax-py demo program. Enter alpha\n");
  scanf("%f",&alpha);
  fp = fopen("testMat",r");
  fscanf(fp,"%d %d",AM,NM);
  for (i=0; i<N; ++i)
    for (j=0; j<M; ++j)
      fscanf(fp,"%f",&A[i][j]);
  fclose(fp);

  Y = A[2]; /* Do a saxpy of row 5 with row 2 */
  X = A[3];
  saxpy(alpha, Y, X, M);
  printf("Result vector is:\n");
  for (i=0; i<N; ++i)
    printf("%3f ",Y[i]);
  printf("\n");
  exit(0);
}

saxpy(alph, y, x, len)
float alph;
float *y,*x;
int len;
{ int i;
  for (i=0; i<len; ++i) {
    y[i] += alph * x[i];
  }
}
```

Figure 3: saxpy32.c: run saxpy() on two rows of a matrix (sequential).
#include <stdio.h>
int N,N;
float X[N]; % replicate 
float Y[N]; % replicate
float A[N+4][N]; % distribute

main()
{
    int i,tmp,origin;
    float alpha;
    FILE *fp;
    printf("s-ax-py demo program. Enter alpha\n");
    scanf("%f",&alpha);
    fp = fopen("testMat","r");
    fscanf(fp,"%d %d",&N,&N);
    % Alloc 
    fscanf2(fp,"%f", A);
    fclose(fp);

    X = A[5]; % set to subarray A[5][*], copy to all 
    Y = A[2]; % set to subarray A[2][*] 
    saxpy(alpha, Y, X);

    printf("Result vector is:\n");
    printf("%0.3f ", Y);
    exit(0);
}

saxpy( alph, y, x)
float alph;
float *y,*x;
%( % setDcmp of y,x %
int i;

if (% y set? % && % x set? %) {
    for (i=0; i<N size of y[*] %; ++i) %( % splitFor on y[*] %
        y[i] += alph * x[i];
    %}
}
%

Figure 4: saxpy2.mmp: MetaMP version of saxpyS2.c.
Figure 5: saxpy3.mmp: column-wise version of saxpy2.mmp.

all the replicas so that each processor has row 5 of A. This is done so that some processor actually contains both X and Y. Doing this as a broadcast is appropriate – in Gaussian elimination, for example, one is running a saxpy() of one row of the matrix with all the rows of the matrix below it. The copy also has the effect of setting the set? attribute of X to TRUE in all processors.

- Finally, we wish to run the saxpy() only in those processors in which both X and Y have been set, that is, where they are both valid. This is accomplished inside the routine by the statement:

\[
\text{if } (\% y \text{ set? } \% \&\& \% x \text{ set? } \%)
\]

Other Data Distribution Choices

The methods employed in saxpy2.mmp have given us distribution independence. We can now vary the distribution of the matrix and still have correct behavior.

Column-wise Distribution

To change saxpy2.mmp to a column-wise distribution, the array declarations need to be modified to that shown in figure 5. With these declarations, the distribution is column-wise and the program is still correct. Here are a few comments about this case.

- Processors no longer contain entire rows, and so, each processor has only a part of X and Y.
#include <stdio.h>

int N,M;
float X[N:2]; % distribute on [], replicate on [*] %
float Y[N:2]; % distribute on [], replicate on [*] %
float A[N:2][N:2]; % distribute %

... The rest is the same

Figure 6: saxpy4.mmp: two-dimensional version of saxpy2.mmp.

- There is no need to replicate the vectors, and there is no problem in getting the valid X and Y together in the same processor. This, in turn, implies that a broadcast (the copy to all directive) isn’t necessary. MetaMP knows this, however, since it knows how the arrays are distributed. Therefore, even though the copy to all directive remains in the program, no code to actually do a broadcast is emitted by the MetaMP compiler.

- The saxpy() routine continues to function correctly.

Two-Dimensional Distribution

Now we wish to distribute the matrix in both the row direction and the column direction. Figure 6 gives the declaration syntax for this case. Notable features of this case are listed below.

- In this case, the vectors are distributed in the column direction but need to be replicated in the row direction. A mixed directive like this is available in MetaMP and shown in figure 6. The directions are specified with the usual [], volatile, notation.

- The copy to all directive now causes a broadcast to occur only to the set of replicas of X. That is, a broadcast in the row direction is emitted by the MetaMP compiler.

- Again, the saxpy() routine functions correctly.

Actual Runs

To show that these are real programs, we give the output of them operating on some test data. Here is the test data and the result of running the
sequential program, saxpyS2.c, on it:

iliama% cat testSmat
9 7
-0.797 4.693 -6.129 1.932 0.714 4.449 1.979
0.320 -6.677 -5.266 -4.886 3.900 4.171 2.433
3.902 6.757 6.638 3.588 0.322 -0.101 2.179
3.090 3.076 1.011 5.363 7.453 -2.067 -6.973
-7.894 1.627 0.869 -0.691 -1.680 2.740 -6.759
7.034 -0.811 3.218 -0.646 0.522 5.952 2.467
-3.578 2.123 -3.100 -7.676 0.880 -4.462 3.912
-6.797 3.438 -1.910 4.292 -1.487 7.102 1.656
iliama% saxpyS2
s-ax-py demo program. Enter alpha
.56
Result vector is:
-4.101 -5.756 -4.779 -5.273 2.959 5.705 -1.352

Here is the run of saxpy2.mmp (cubix is the Express interface to the parallel machine):

iliama% cubix -n4 saxpy2
Allocated 4 nodes, origin at 0, process id 0.
Loading file saxpy2 to nodes 0-3 ....
s-ax-py demo program. Enter alpha
.56
Result vector is:
Processor 0 has:
-4.101 -5.756 -4.779 -5.273 2.959 5.705 -1.352
Processor 1 has:
0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
Processor 2 has:
0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
Processor 3 has:
0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
System 0:15 User 0:3
CUBIX: exit status 0

Note that the saxpy was computed only in processor 0, which is where Y was located.

Here is the run of saxpy3.mmp:

iliama% cubix -n4 saxpy3
Allocated 4 nodes, origin at 0, process id 0.
Loading file saxpy3 to nodes 0-3 ....
s-ax-py demo program. Enter alpha
.56
Result vector is:
Processor 0 has:
-4.101 -5.756
Processor 1 has:
-4.779 -5.273
Processor 3 has:
2.959 5.705
Processor 2 has:
-1.352
System 0:14 User 0:3
CUBIX: exit status 0

Now all of the processors have a section of Y, and so they all contribute to the computation.
Here is the run of saxpy4.mmp:

iliama% cubix -n4 saxpy4
Allocated 4 nodes, origin at 0, process id 0.
Loading file saxpy2 to nodes 0-3 ....
s-ex-py demo program. Enter alpha
.56
Result vector is:
Processor 0 has:
-4.101 -5.756 -4.779 -5.273
Processor 1 has:
2.959 5.705 -1.352
Processor 2 has:
0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
Processor 3 has:
0.000 0.000 0.000
System 0:15 User 0:3
CUBIX: exit status 0

This is the mixed case. Two processors participate in the saxpy(), while the other two don’t.
A More Realistic Program

Finally, we give a more realistic program using our parallel saxpy(). It is shown in figure 7, and it zeros out all elements below the diagonal of the first column of the matrix by doing the appropriate saxpy() operation. A complete Gaussian elimination program is not far behind. Here it is in operation, on the same test matrix as before:

```
cubix -n4 ge
```

Allocated 4 nodes, origin at 0, process id 0.
Loading file ge to nodes 0-3 . . . .
ge demo program.
Matrix is:
Processor 0 has:
  -5.726  -3.622  4.902  -4.336  -7.347  -4.286  -0.158
  -0.000  5.197  -6.811  2.536  1.737  5.046  1.999
  0.000  -8.869  -4.992  -5.128  3.489  3.931  2.424
Processor 1 has:
  -0.000  4.289  9.978  0.633  -4.685  -4.022  2.071
  0.000  1.120  3.656  3.023  3.488  -4.400  -7.058
Processor 3 has:
  -0.000  6.620  -5.889  5.287  8.449  8.649  -6.541
  0.000  -5.260  9.240  -5.972  -8.503  0.687  2.273
Processor 2 has:
  -0.000  4.386  -6.163  -4.967  5.471  -1.784  4.011
  0.000  7.737  -7.729  9.459  7.234  12.190  1.844
```

System 0:15 User 0:1
CUBIX: exit status 0
iliamaX

References


#include <stdio.h>

int N, M;
float X[N];  % replicate X
float Y[N];  % replicate X
float A[M*4][N];  % distribute X

main()
{
    int i, tmp, origin;
    float alpha;
    FILE *fp;
    printf("ge demo program\n");
    fp = fopen("testM", "r");
    fscanf(fp, "%d %d", &M, &N);

    X[0] = A[0];  % set to subarray A[0][*], copy to all X
    for (i=1; i<N; ++i) {
        % splitFor on A[*]
        alpha = -A[i][0] / X[0];
        Y = A[i];  % set to subarray A[i][*]
        saxpy(alpha, Y, X);
    }

    printf("Matrix is:\n");
    printf("%8.3f ", A);
    exit(0);
}

saxpy(alph, y, x)
float alph;
float *y, *x;
%( % setDcmp of y, x %
    int i;

    if (!y set? && x set?) {
        for (i=0; i<N; ++i) {
            % splitFor on y[*]
            y[i] += alph * x[i];
        }
    }
}%

Figure 7: ge.mmp: zero-out first column, using saxpy()